WO

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

SEAN THOMAS ROOKE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ELDON VAIL, et al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff Sean Thomas Rooke, a Washington inmate now confined in Stafford Creek Corrections Center in Aberdeen, Washington, filed a *pro se* civil rights Complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 in the District Court for the Western District of Washington. That Court transferred the case to this District based on venue because Plaintiff's claim concerns the loss of property while he was confined in the Red Rock Correctional Center (RRCC), a Corrections Corporation of America (CCA) facility, in Eloy, Arizona. Plaintiff has filed an Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis*, which will be granted. (Doc. 11.) The Court will dismiss the Complaint with leave to amend.

I. Application to Proceed In Forma Pauperis and Filing Fee

Plaintiff's Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* will be granted. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). Plaintiff must pay the statutory filing fee of \$350.00. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1). The Court will not assess an initial partial filing fee. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1). The statutory fee will be collected monthly in payments of 20% of the previous month's income each time the amount in the account exceeds \$10.00. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2). The Court will enter a separate Order requiring the appropriate government agency to collect and forward the fees

according to the statutory formula.

II. Statutory Screening of Prisoner Complaints

The Court is required to screen complaints brought by prisoners seeking relief against a governmental entity or an officer or an employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The Court must dismiss a complaint or portion thereof if a plaintiff has raised claims that are legally frivolous or malicious, that fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or that seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1), (2).

A pleading must contain a "short and plain statement of the claim *showing* that the pleader is entitled to relief." Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(2) (emphasis added). While Rule 8 does not demand detailed factual allegations, "it demands more than an unadorned, the-defendant-unlawfully-harmed-me accusation." <u>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</u>, 129 S. Ct. 1937, 1949 (2009). "Threadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action, supported by mere conclusory statements, do not suffice." Id.

"[A] complaint must contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to 'state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face.'" <u>Id.</u> (quoting <u>Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly</u>, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007)). A claim is plausible "when the plaintiff pleads factual content that allows the court to draw the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged." <u>Id.</u> "Determining whether a complaint states a plausible claim for relief [is] . . . a context-specific task that requires the reviewing court to draw on its judicial experience and common sense." <u>Id.</u> at 1950. Thus, although a plaintiff's specific factual allegations may be consistent with a constitutional claim, a court must assess whether there are other "more likely explanations" for a defendant's conduct. <u>Id.</u> at 1951. But as the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit has instructed, courts must "continue to construe *pro se* filings liberally." <u>Hebbe v. Pliler</u>, 627 F.3d 338, 342 (9th Cir. 2010). A "complaint [filed by a *pro se* prisoner] 'must be held to less stringent standards than formal pleadings drafted by lawyers." <u>Id.</u> (quoting <u>Erickson v. Pardus</u>, 551 U.S. 89, 94 (2007) (*per curiam*)).

If the Court determines that a pleading could be cured by the allegation of other facts, a *pro se* litigant is entitled to an opportunity to amend a complaint before dismissal of the action. See Lopez v. Smith, 203 F.3d 1122, 1127-29 (9th Cir. 2000) (*en banc*). The Court should not, however, advise the litigant how to cure the defects. This type of advice "would undermine district judges' role as impartial decisionmakers." Pliler v. Ford, 542 U.S. 225, 231 (2004); see also Lopez, 203 F.3d at 1131 n.13 (declining to decide whether the court was required to inform a litigant of deficiencies). The Court will dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint for failure to state a claim with leave to amend because he may be able to amend the Complaint to state a claim.

III. Complaint

Plaintiff filed his Complaint concerning a loss of property while he was housed at RRCC. Plaintiff sues Eldon Vail, the Secretary of the Washington Department of Corrections. He also sues the following CCA employees: A. Kenney, Superintendent Bruno Stolc, C. Kossman, C. Coleman, and John Doe. Plaintiff appears to seek compensatory relief.

Plaintiff alleges the following facts in his Complaint: In 2007 and 2008, Plaintiff purchased 27 items listed in exhibit 1 to his Complaint. On July 30, 2008, Plaintiff was transferred from CCA's Florence Correctional Center and the 27 items were listed on his transfer receipts. On August 5, 2008, Plaintiff signed an "Allowable Personal Property Inventory/Receipt." (Doc. 1, ex. 4.)

On January 8, 2009, Plaintiff was placed in Administrative Segregation (Ad-Seg) at RRCC and signed another "Allowable Personal Property Inventory/Recipt" and a "Disposition of Non-Allowable Property" concerning the items listed in exhibit 1.¹ (<u>Id.</u>, ex. 2, 4.) It appears that the non-allowable property was to be stored. (<u>Id.</u>, ex. 2.) According to a copy of an "Offender Complaint" dated February 14, 2011, attached as exhibit 5 to the Complaint, property was stolen from Plaintiff's cell after he was placed in segregation.

¹ The form is dated January 28, 2008, but Plaintiff alleges that he signed it in 2009.

IV. Failure to State a Claim

To state a claim under § 1983, a plaintiff must allege facts supporting that (1) the conduct about which he complains was committed by a person acting under the color of state law and (2) the conduct deprived him of a federal constitutional or statutory right. Wood v. Ostrander, 879 F.2d 583, 587 (9th Cir. 1989). In addition, a plaintiff must allege that he suffered a specific injury as a result of the conduct of a particular defendant and he must allege an affirmative link between the injury and the conduct of that defendant. Rizzo v. Goode, 423 U.S. 362, 371-72, 377 (1976).

A. Failure to Link Violation to Any Defendant

Plaintiff sues the Defendants for the loss of property while he was held at RRCC. Plaintiff fails, however, to allege facts to connect any of Defendant to any constitutional violation.

A "plaintiff must allege facts, not simply conclusions, that show that an individual was personally involved in the deprivation of his civil rights." <u>Barren v. Harrington</u>, 152 F.3d 1193, 1194 (9th Cir. 1998). For an individual to be liable in his official capacity, a plaintiff must allege that the official acted as a result of a policy, practice, or custom. <u>See Cortez v. County of Los Angeles</u>, 294 F.3d 1186, 1188 (9th Cir. 2001). Further, there is no *respondeat superior* liability under § 1983, so a defendant's position as the supervisor of someone who allegedly violated a plaintiff's constitutional rights does not make him liable. <u>Monell v. Dep't of Soc. Servs.</u>, 436 U.S. 658, 691 (1978); <u>Taylor v. List</u>, 880 F.2d 1040, 1045 (9th Cir. 1989). A supervisor in his individual capacity, "is only liable for constitutional violations of his subordinates if the supervisor participated in or directed the violations, or knew of the violations and failed to act to prevent them." <u>Taylor</u>, 880 F.2d at 1045.

Because Plaintiff fails to allege facts to support the involvement of any Defendant in a federal constitutional violation, Plaintiff fails to state a claim under § 1983 against any Defendant.

B. Due Process

As noted above, Plaintiff alleges the deprivation of property without due process. In

<u>Parratt v. Taylor</u>, 451 U.S. 527, 541 (1981), the Supreme Court held that due process is not violated when a state employee negligently deprives an individual of property, as long as the state makes available a meaningful post-deprivation remedy. The rationale underlying <u>Parratt</u> is that *pre*-deprivation procedures are impractical when the deprivation of property occurs through negligent conduct of a state employee because a state cannot know when such deprivations will occur. <u>Hudson v. Palmer</u>, 468 U.S. 517, 533 (1984). "Where a government official's act causing injury to life, liberty, or property is merely negligent, 'no procedure for compensation is *constitutionally* required.'" <u>Daniels v. Williams</u>, 474 U.S. 327, 333 (1986) (emphasis added) (quoting <u>Parratt</u>, 451 U.S. at 548).

The logic of <u>Parratt</u> has been extended to intentional unauthorized deprivations of property by state actors because a state also cannot know when such deprivations will occur. <u>Hudson</u>, 468 U.S. at 533. As with negligent deprivations, where a state makes available a meaningful *post*-deprivation remedy, such as a common-law tort suit against a prison employee for intentional unauthorized deprivations, a federal due process claim is precluded. <u>Hudson</u>, 468 U.S. at 534-35; <u>King v. Massarweh</u>, 782 F.2d 825, 826 (9th Cir. 1986).

Plaintiff fails to sufficiently allege circumstances surrounding the loss of his property, including when, where, and by whom, if known. Plaintiff appears to predicate his claim for deprivation of property on negligence. However, as stated above, merely negligent deprivations of property do not rise to the level of a constitutional violation.

If Plaintiff is attempting to allege an intentional unauthorized deprivation of property by prison staff, he also fails to state a constitutional claim. Under Arizona state law, Plaintiff has an available post-deprivation remedy via an action for conversion. See Howland v. State, 818 P.2d 1169, 1172-73 (Ariz. Ct. App. 1991) (prison officials' confiscation of and failure to return prisoner's personal property states a state law claim for conversion)²; see also

² Arizona Revised Statute 31-201.01(L) does not preclude Plaintiff from seeking relief for conversion to the extent that he sues private prison employees. Section 31-201.01(L) provides that:

Wright v. Riveland, 219 F.3d 905, 918 (9th Cir. 2000) (prisoners in Washington have adequate post-deprivation remedies to challenge deductions from inmate accounts by utilizing the prison grievance procedure or by filing a state tort action).

If, on the other hand, Plaintiff is attempting to allege a deprivation of property by prison staff pursuant to prison regulations or policies, he *may* be able to state a Due Process violation. To state a federal constitutional claim, Plaintiff must allege facts to support that a deprivation was (a) authorized by prison policies or regulations, (b) by a Defendant, and (c) absent pre-deprivation procedures. Because Plaintiff fails to allege facts to support that he was deprived of property pursuant to prison policies or regulations without pre-deprivation procedures, he fails to state a constitutional claim for violation of Due Process. For that reason, his claim will be dismissed.

V. Leave to Amend

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff's Complaint will be dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. Within 30 days, Plaintiff may submit a first amended complaint to cure the deficiencies outlined above. The Clerk of Court will mail Plaintiff a court-approved form to use for filing a first amended complaint. If Plaintiff fails to use the court-approved form, the Court may strike the amended complaint and dismiss this action without further notice to Plaintiff.

Plaintiff must clearly designate on the face of the document that it is the "First Amended Complaint." The first amended complaint must be retyped or rewritten in its entirety on the court-approved form and may not incorporate any part of the original Complaint by reference. Plaintiff may include only one claim per count.

awaiting sentence or while serving a sentence imposed by a court of law may not bring a cause of action seeking damages or equitable relief from the state or its political subdivisions, agencies, officers or employees for injuries suffered while in the custody of the state or its political subdivisions or agencies unless the complaint alleges specific facts from which the court may conclude that the plaintiff suffered serious physical injury or the claim is

authorized by a federal statute.

A first amended complaint supersedes the original complaint. Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1262 (9th Cir. 1992); Hal Roach Studios v. Richard Feiner & Co., 896 F.2d 1542, 1546 (9th Cir. 1990). After amendment, the Court will treat an original complaint as nonexistent. Ferdik, 963 F.2d at 1262. Any cause of action that was raised in the original complaint is waived if it is not raised in a first amended complaint. King v. Atiyeh, 814 F.2d 565, 567 (9th Cir. 1987).

VI. Warnings

A. Release

Plaintiff must pay the unpaid balance of the filing fee within 120 days of his release. Also, within 30 days of his release, he must either (1) notify the Court that he intends to pay the balance or (2) show good cause, in writing, why he cannot. Failure to comply may result in dismissal of this action.

B. Address Changes

Plaintiff must file and serve a notice of a change of address in accordance with Rule 83.3(d) of the Local Rules of Civil Procedure. Plaintiff must not include a motion for other relief with a notice of change of address. Failure to comply may result in dismissal of this action.

C. Copies

Plaintiff must submit an additional copy of every filing for use by the Court. <u>See</u> LRCiv 5.4. Failure to comply may result in the filing being stricken without further notice to Plaintiff.

D. Possible "Strike"

Because the Complaint has been dismissed for failure to state a claim, if Plaintiff fails to file an amended complaint correcting the deficiencies identified in this Order, the dismissal may count as a "strike" under the "3-strikes" provision of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). Under the 3-strikes provision, a prisoner may not bring a civil action or appeal a civil judgment *in forma pauperis* under 28 U.S.C. § 1915 "if the prisoner has, on 3 or more prior occasions, while incarcerated or detained in any facility, brought an action or appeal in a

court of the United States that was dismissed on the grounds that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical injury." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

E. **Possible Dismissal**

If Plaintiff fails to timely comply with every provision of this Order, including these warnings, the Court may dismiss this action without further notice. See Ferdik, 963 F.2d at 1260-61 (a district court may dismiss an action for failure to comply with any order of the Court).

IT IS ORDERED:

- Plaintiff's Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* is **granted**. (Doc. 11.) (1)
- (2) As required by the accompanying Order to the appropriate government agency, Plaintiff must pay the \$350.00 filing fee and is not assessed an initial partial filing fee.
- (3) The Complaint is **dismissed** for failure to state a claim. (Doc. 1.) Plaintiff has 30 days from the date this Order is filed to file a first amended complaint in compliance with this Order.
- **(4)** If Plaintiff fails to file an amended complaint within 30 days, the Clerk of Court must, without further notice, enter a judgment of dismissal of this action with prejudice that states that the dismissal may count as a "strike" under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).
- (5) The Clerk of Court must mail Plaintiff a court-approved form for filing a civil rights complaint by a prisoner.

DATED this 10th day of August, 2011.

United States District Judge

26

Instructions for a Prisoner Filing a Civil Rights Complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Arizona

- 1. Who May Use This Form. The civil rights complaint form is designed to help incarcerated persons prepare a complaint seeking relief for a violation of their federal civil rights. These complaints typically concern, but are not limited to, conditions of confinement. **This form should not be used to challenge your conviction or sentence**. If you want to challenge a state conviction or sentence, you should file a petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 for a writ of habeas corpus by a person in state custody. If you want to challenge a federal conviction or sentence, you should file a motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 to vacate sentence in the federal court that entered the judgment.
- 2. The Form. Local Rule of Civil Procedure (LRCiv) 3.4(a) provides that complaints by incarcerated persons must be filed on the court-approved form. The form must be typed or neatly handwritten. The form must be completely filled in to the extent applicable. All questions must be answered clearly and concisely in the appropriate space on the form. If needed, you may attach additional pages, but no more than fifteen additional pages, of standard letter-sized paper. You must identify which part of the complaint is being continued and number all pages. If you do not fill out the form properly, you will be asked to submit additional or corrected information, which may delay the processing of your action. You do not need to cite law.
- 3. <u>Your Signature</u>. You must tell the truth and sign the form. If you make a false statement of a material fact, you may be prosecuted for perjury.
- 4. <u>The Filing Fee</u>. The filing fee for this action is \$350.00. If you are unable to immediately pay the filing fee, you may request leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Please review the "Information for Prisoners Seeking Leave to Proceed with a (Non-Habeas) Civil Action in Federal Court *In Forma Pauperis* Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915" for additional instructions.
- 5. <u>Original and Judge's Copy</u>. You must send an **original plus one copy** of your complaint and of any other documents submitted to the Court. You must send one additional copy to the Court if you wish to have a file-stamped copy of the document returned to you. All copies must be identical to the original. Copies may be legibly handwritten.
- 6. Where to File. You should file your complaint in the division where you were confined when your rights were allegedly violated. See LRCiv 5.1(a) and 77.1(a). If you were confined in Maricopa, Pinal, Yuma, La Paz, or Gila County, file in the Phoenix Division. If you were confined in Apache, Navajo, Coconino, Mohave, or Yavapai County, file in the Prescott Division. If you were confined in Pima, Cochise, Santa Cruz, Graham, or Greenlee County, file in the Tucson Division. Mail the original and one copy of the complaint with the \$350 filing fee or the application to proceed in forma pauperis to:

Revised 3/9/07 1

Phoenix & Prescott Divisions:ORTucson Division:U.S. District Court ClerkU.S. District Court ClerkU.S. Courthouse, Suite 130U.S. Courthouse, Suite 1500401 West Washington Street, SPC 10405 West Congress StreetPhoenix, Arizona 85003-2119Tucson, Arizona 85701-5010

- 7. <u>Change of Address</u>. You must immediately notify the Court and the defendants in writing of any change in your mailing address. **Failure to notify the Court of any change in your mailing address may result in the dismissal of your case.**
- 8. <u>Certificate of Service</u>. You must furnish the defendants with a copy of any document you submit to the Court (except the initial complaint and application to proceed *in forma pauperis*). Each original document (except the initial complaint and application to proceed *in forma pauperis*) must include a certificate of service on the last page of the document stating the date a copy of the document was mailed to the defendants and the address to which it was mailed. <u>See</u> Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(a), (d). Any document received by the Court that does not include a certificate of service may be stricken. A certificate of service should be in the following form:

I hereby certify that a copy of the for	regoing document was mailed
this (month, d	ay, year) to:
Name:	
Address:	
Attorney for Defendant(s)	
	_
(Signature)	

- 9. <u>Amended Complaint</u>. If you need to change any of the information in the initial complaint, you must file an amended complaint. The amended complaint must be written on the court-approved civil rights complaint form. You may file one amended complaint without leave (permission) of Court before any defendant has answered your original complaint. <u>See</u> Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a). After any defendant has filed an answer, you must file a motion for leave to amend and lodge (submit) a proposed amended complaint. LRCiv 15.1. In addition, an amended complaint may not incorporate by reference any part of your prior complaint. LRCiv 15.1(a)(2). **Any allegations or defendants not included in the amended complaint are considered dismissed**. All amended complaints are subject to screening under the Prison Litigation Reform Act; screening your amendment will take additional processing time.
- 10. <u>Exhibits</u>. You should not submit exhibits with the complaint or amended complaint. Instead, the relevant information should be paraphrased. You should keep the exhibits to use to support or oppose a motion to dismiss, a motion for summary judgment, or at trial.
- 11. <u>Letters and Motions</u>. It is generally inappropriate to write a letter to any judge or the staff of any judge. The only appropriate way to communicate with the Court is by filing a written pleading or motion.

12. Completing the Civil Rights Complaint Form.

HEADING:

- 1. <u>Your Name</u>. Print your name, prison or inmate number, and institutional mailing address on the lines provided.
- 2. <u>Defendants</u>. If there are **four or fewer** defendants, print the name of each. If you name **more than four** defendants, print the name of the first defendant on the first line, write the words "and others" on the second line, and attach an additional page listing the names of **all** of the defendants. Insert the additional page after page 1 and number it "1-A" at the bottom.
- 3. <u>Jury Demand</u>. If you want a jury trial, you must write "JURY TRIAL DEMANDED" in the space below "CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT BY A PRISONER." Failure to do so may result in the loss of the right to a jury trial. A jury trial is not available if you are seeking only injunctive relief.

Part A. JURISDICTION:

- 1. <u>Nature of Suit</u>. Mark whether you are filing the complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for state, county, or city defendants; "<u>Bivens v. Six Unknown Federal Narcotics Agents</u>" for federal defendants; or "other." If you mark "other," identify the source of that authority.
- 2. <u>Location</u>. Identify the institution and city where the alleged violation of your rights occurred.
- 3. <u>Defendants</u>. Print all of the requested information about each of the defendants in the spaces provided. If you are naming more than four defendants, you must provide the necessary information about each additional defendant on separate pages labeled "2-A," "2-B," etc., at the bottom. Insert the additional page(s) immediately behind page 2.

Part B. PREVIOUS LAWSUITS:

You must identify any other lawsuit you have filed in either state or federal court while you were a prisoner. Print all of the requested information about each lawsuit in the spaces provided. If you have filed more than three lawsuits, you must provide the necessary information about each additional lawsuit on a separate page. Label the page(s) as "2-A," "2-B," etc., at the bottom of the page and insert the additional page(s) immediately behind page 2.

Part C. CAUSE OF ACTION:

You must identify what rights each defendant violated. The form provides space to allege three separate counts (**one violation per count**). If you are alleging more than three counts, you must provide the necessary information about each additional count on a separate page. Number the additional pages "5-A," "5-B," etc., and insert them immediately behind page 5. Remember that you are limited to a total of fifteen additional pages.

- 1. <u>Counts</u>. You must identify which civil right was violated. **You may allege the violation of only one civil right per count**.
- 2. <u>Issue Involved</u>. Check the box that most closely identifies the issue involved in your claim. **You may check only one box per count**. If you check the box marked "Other," you must identify the specific issue involved.
- 3. <u>Supporting Facts</u>. After you have identified which civil right was violated, you must state the supporting facts. Be as specific as possible. You must state what each individual defendant did to violate your rights. If there is more than one defendant, you must identify which defendant did what act. You also should state the date(s) on which the act(s) occurred, if possible.
- 4. <u>Injury</u>. State precisely how you were injured by the alleged violation of your rights.
- 5. <u>Administrative Remedies</u>. You must exhaust any available administrative remedies before you file a civil rights complaint. <u>See</u> 42 U.S.C. § 1997e. Consequently, you should disclose whether you have exhausted the inmate grievance procedures or administrative appeals for each count in your complaint. If the grievance procedures were not available for any of your counts, fully explain why on the lines provided.

Part D. REQUEST FOR RELIEF:

Print the relief you are seeking in the space provided.

SIGNATURE:

You must sign your name and print the date you signed the complaint. Failure to sign the complaint will delay the processing of your action. Unless you are an attorney, you may not bring an action on behalf of anyone but yourself.

FINAL NOTE

You should follow these instructions carefully. Failure to do so may result in your complaint being stricken or dismissed. All questions must be answered concisely in the proper space on the form. If you need more space, you may attach no more than fifteen additional pages. But the form must be completely filled in to the extent applicable. If you attach additional pages, be sure to identify which section of the complaint is being continued and number the pages.

Name and Prisoner/Booking Number	
Place of Confinement	
Mailing Address	
City, State, Zip Code	
(Failure to notify the Court of your change of address may	result in dishinssar of this action.)
IN THE UNITED ST	TATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DIS	TRICT OF ARIZONA
)
	.)
(Full Name of Plaintiff) Plaintiff,	
,)
VS.) CASE NO.
, 5.	(To be supplied by the Clerk)
(1)	.)
Full Name of Defendant)	
(2)	,)
(=)	CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT
(3)	BY A PRISONER
	_, , ,
(4)	_,) □ Original Complaint
Defendant(s).) First Amended Complaint
Check if there are additional Defendants and attach page 1-A listing them.	Second Amended Complaint
A. JII	URISDICTION
71. 00	
1. This Court has jurisdiction over this action p	oursuant to:
☐ 28 U.S.C. § 1343(a); 42 U.S.C. § 19	
	known Federal Narcotics Agents, 403 U.S. 388 (1971).
Other:	
2. Institution/city where violation occurred:	

Revised 3/9/07 1 **550/555**

B. DEFENDANTS

1.	Name of first Defendant:	The first Defendant is employed as:	
	atatat	(Institution)	
2.	Name of second Defendant:		
	(Position and Title)	(Institution)	
3.	Name of third Defendant:		
	(Position and Title)	(Institution)	
4.	Name of fourth Defendant:at		
	(Position and Title)	(Institution)	
If y	you name more than four Defendants, answer the questions listed above for	r each additional Defendant on a separate page.	
	C. PREVIOUS LAWSUI	ITS	
1.	Have you filed any other lawsuits while you were a prisoner	?	
2.	If yes, how many lawsuits have you filed? Describe the previous lawsuits:		
	a. First prior lawsuit:		
	1. Parties: v		
	2. Court and case number:		
	3. Result: (Was the case dismissed? Was it appealed	d? Is it still pending?)	
	b. Second prior lawsuit:		
	1. Parties: v		
	2. Court and case number:		
	3. Result: (Was the case dismissed? Was it appealed	d? Is it still pending?)	
	c. Third prior lawsuit:		
	1. Parties: v.		
	 Court and case number: Result: (Was the case dismissed? Was it appealed) 		
	3. Result: (Was the case dismissed? Was it appealed	d? Is it still pending?)	

If you filed more than three lawsuits, answer the questions listed above for each additional lawsuit on a separate page.

D. CAUSE OF ACTION

COUNT I

1.	State the constitutional or other federal civil right that was violated:	
2.		unt I. Identify the issue involved. Check only one. State additional issues in separate counts. Basic necessities
	h De	pporting Facts. State as briefly as possible the FACTS supporting Count I. Describe exactly what fendant did or did not do that violated your rights. State the facts clearly in your own words without egal authority or arguments.
4.	Inj	ury. State how you were injured by the actions or inactions of the Defendant(s).
5.	a.	ministrative Remedies: Are there any administrative remedies (grievance procedures or administrative appeals) available at your institution? \[\sum_{\text{Pidentity}} \text{Yes} \sum_{\text{No}} \text{No} \]
	b. c. d.	Did you submit a request for administrative relief on Count I? Did you appeal your request for relief on Count I to the highest level? If you did not submit or appeal a request for administrative relief at any level, briefly explain why you did not.

COUNT II

1.	1. State the constitutional or other federal civil right that was violated:	
2.		unt II. Identify the issue involved. Check only one. State additional issues in separate counts. Basic necessities
	h De	pporting Facts. State as briefly as possible the FACTS supporting Count II. Describe exactly what fendant did or did not do that violated your rights. State the facts clearly in your own words without egal authority or arguments.
4.	Inj	ury. State how you were injured by the actions or inactions of the Defendant(s).
5.	Ad a.	ministrative Remedies. Are there any administrative remedies (grievance procedures or administrative appeals) available at your institution? Yes No
	b. c. d.	Did you submit a request for administrative relief on Count II? Did you appeal your request for relief on Count II to the highest level? If you did not submit or appeal a request for administrative relief at any level, briefly explain why you did not.

COUNT III

1.	Sta	te the constitutional or other federal civil right that was violated:
2.		unt III. Identify the issue involved. Check only one. State additional issues in separate counts. Basic necessities
	h De	pporting Facts. State as briefly as possible the FACTS supporting Count III. Describe exactly what fendant did or did not do that violated your rights. State the facts clearly in your own words without gal authority or arguments.
4.	Inj	ury. State how you were injured by the actions or inactions of the Defendant(s).
5.	Adi	ministrative Remedies. Are there any administrative remedies (grievance procedures or administrative appeals) available at your institution? Yes No
	b. c. d.	Did you submit a request for administrative relief on Count III? Did you appeal your request for relief on Count III to the highest level? Yes No If you did not submit or appeal a request for administrative relief at any level, briefly explain why you did not.

If you assert more than three Counts, answer the questions listed above for each additional Count on a separate page.

E. REQUEST FOR RELIEF

State the relief you are seeking:	
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true ar	nd correct.
Executed on	
DATE	SIGNATURE OF PLAINTIFF
(Name and title of paralegal, legal assistant, or other person who helped prepare this complaint)	
(Signature of attorney, if any)	
(Attorney's address & telephone number)	

ADDITIONAL PAGES

All questions must be answered concisely in the proper space on the form. If you need more space, you may attach no more than fifteen additional pages. But the form must be completely filled in to the extent applicable. If you attach additional pages, be sure to identify which section of the complaint is being continued and number all pages.