

1 Steven J. Nataupsky (SBN 155913)
 snataupsky@kmob.com
 2 Joseph S. Cianfrani (SBN 196186)
 jcianfrani@kmob.com
 3 Curtis R. Huffmire (SBN 225069)
 chuffmire@kmob.com
 4 KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP
 2040 Main Street
 5 Fourteenth Floor
 Irvine, CA 92614
 6 Phone: (949) 760-0404
 Facsimile: (949) 760-9502
 7
 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 CAM GUARD SYSTEMS, INC.

E-FILED 11-10-08
 JS-6

9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 WESTERN DIVISION

CAM GUARD SYSTEMS, INC., a
 California corporation,
 Plaintiff,
 v.
 SMART SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGIES,
 INC., a California corporation,
 Defendant.

) Case No.
) SACV07-1051 PSG (SSx)
) (consolidated with
) **CV 06-6949 PSG (SSx)**
)
) **[PROPOSED] FINAL**
) **JUDGMENT**
)
) Trial: September 4, 2008
) Time: 9:00 a.m.
) Courtroom: 790
)
) Hon. Philip S. Gutierrez

1 On June 3, 2008, this Court found in favor of Cam Guard Systems, Inc.
2 (“Cam Guard”), finding that Smart Systems Technologies, Inc. (“SST”) literally
3 infringed Claims 1-7, 9-14, 16-17, and 19-26 of U.S. Patent No. 7,059,783 (“the
4 ‘783 Patent”), Claims 1-5, 8, 13, and 14 of U.S. Patent No. 7,111,997 (“the ‘997
5 Patent”), and Claims 1 and 3-5 of U.S. Patent No. 7,267,496 (“the ‘496 Patent”).
6 Additionally, this Court found as a matter of law that the ‘783, ‘997, and ‘496
7 Patents are valid and enforceable.

8 On September 4, 2008, a jury trial on the remaining issues of willfulness
9 and damages concerning the ‘783, ‘997, and ‘496 Patents came before this
10 Court. On September 16, 2008, the jury rendered its verdict awarding damages
11 to Cam Guard in the amount of \$183,000, and finding that SST had not willfully
12 infringed the ‘783, ‘997, and ‘496 Patents.

13 The issues having been duly tried and the jury having rendered its verdict,
14 THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS, ADJUDGES AND DECREES:

- 15 1. That U.S. Patent Nos. 7,059,783; 7,111,997; and 7,267,496, each
16 owned by Cam Guard, are valid and enforceable.
- 17 2. That SST’s surveillance towers with the recorder in the secure base
18 enclosure, SST’s modified surveillance tower with the recorder in
19 an enclosure on the pole, and SST’s modified surveillance tower
20 with the recorder in a supervisor’s trailer/unit infringe Claims 1-7,
21 9-14, 16-17 and 19-26 of the ‘783 patent.
- 22 3. That SST’s surveillance towers with the recorder in the secure base
23 enclosure infringe Claims 1-5, 8, 13 and 14 of the ‘997 patent.
- 24 4. That SST’s modified surveillance tower with the recorder in an
25 enclosure on the pole, and SST’s modified surveillance tower with
26 the recorder in a supervisor’s trailer/unit infringe Claims 1 and 3-5
27 of the ‘496 patent.

28 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- 5. That Cam Guard shall recover from SST damages in the amount of \$183,000;
- 6. That Cam Guard shall recover its costs, prejudgment and post-judgment interest and Cam Guard is to submit a brief on the amount of interest to be added to the damages award no later than October 20, 2008; and
- 7. That SST, together with its officers, agents, servants, employees and attorneys, and any person in active concert or participation with them having actual notice of this Order are hereby permanently enjoined from making, using, offering to sell, rent, or lease, or selling, renting, or leasing within the United States, its territories and possessions, or by importing into the United States, its territories and possessions, its current surveillance towers or surveillance towers that meet all of the limitations of one or more claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,267,496, U.S. Patent No. 7,059,783, and U.S. Patent No. 7,111,997 during the unexpired terms of those patents, without authorization or license from Cam Guard.

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 58, the Court expressly directs the Clerk to enter this Final Judgment as set forth above.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 11/10/08



THE HON. PHILIP S. GUTIERREZ
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

5996201