

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**CARMEN A. TRUTANICH**, City Attorney (SBN (86629)  
**KENNETH T. FONG**, Deputy City Attorney (SBN 140609)  
**MICHAEL J. BOSTROM**, Deputy City Attorney (SBN 211778)  
Room 701, City Hall East  
200 North Main Street  
Los Angeles, California 90012  
Telephone Number 213.978.8235  
Fax Number 213.978.8214  
Email: Michael.Bostrom@lacity.org

JS-6

Attorneys for Defendant  
City of Los Angeles

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF LOS ANGELES**

SHOW MEDIA CALIFORNIA, LLC, a ) Case No.: CV-09-3270 ABC (JWJx)  
California limited liability company, )

**JUDGMENT**

Plaintiff,

vs.

CITY OF LOS ANGELES, a California )  
municipality, )

Defendant. )

1 On June 29, 2009, the City filed a Motion to Dismiss based on, among  
2 other things, the argument that Plaintiff proposed signs do not have legal  
3 nonconforming status and thus would be banned by the City's Interim Control  
4 Ordinance prohibiting new off-site signs.

5 On November 16, 2009, the Court granted the City's Motion to Dismiss in  
6 part. Specifically, the Court dismissed all of the claims in the Complaint except  
7 for Plaintiff's 1983 damages claim directed at the old Sign Ordinance. In the  
8 course of its ruling, the Court upheld Los Angeles Municipal Code §  
9 91.6216.4.3, which limits the renovation or replacement of an existing sign to  
10 "50 percent of the replacement cost of both the sign and sign support structure."  
11


12 On October 13, 2010, the parties executed a stipulation to dismiss all  
13 remaining claims in the Complaint and, on October 15, 2010, the Court signed  
14 the order dismissing those claims with prejudice.

15 Thus, this Court, the Honorable Audrey B. Collins, ORDERS as follows:

- 16 1. Plaintiff's claims for injunctive and declaratory relief directed at  
17 the old Sign Ordinance and the ICO are dismissed with prejudice.
  - 18 2. Plaintiff's claims directed at LAMC § 91.6216.4.3 are dismissed  
19 with prejudice.
  - 20 3. Plaintiff's § 1983 damages claims directed at the ICO are  
21 dismissed with prejudice.
  - 22 4. Plaintiff's § 1983 damages claims directed at the old Sign  
23 Ordinance are dismissed with prejudice.
  - 24 5. All stays in the action are dissolved.
- 25  
26  
27  
28

1 The Court further ORDERS that liability and damages having been ruled  
2 upon in their entirety, and, there being no claims left to litigate in this action, that  
3 this Judgment is the Final Judgment in this action.  
4

5  
6  
7 Dated: 10/15/2010

  
8 Hon. Audrey B. Collins  
9 U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

10 M:\Real Prop\_Env\_Land Use\Land\_Use\Land Use\Kenneth Fong\  
11 Show Media California\Pleadings\Judgment.doc  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28