1

15

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
TODD DANA PIKE,) Case No. CV 09-7531-AG(RC)
Petitioner.)
vs.) OPINION AND ORDER
JOHN MARSHALL, WARDEN,)
Respondent.)

On October 16, 2009, petitioner Todd Dana Pike, a person in state 16 17 custody proceeding pro se, filed a "Notification of Intent to File 28 U.S.C. § 2254 Habeas Corpus [Petition]." However, petitioner has 18 19 not filed an actual habeas corpus petition setting forth his claims 20 and the facts supporting his claims, and petitioner provides no information regarding the court in which his conviction took place, 21 22 nor the date or nature of his conviction or sentence, and whether he 23 appealed his conviction or otherwise attacked it. Without this information, the Court cannot determine whether it has venue and 24 25 whether petitioner has exhausted his state court remedies. 26

DISCUSSION

Rule 1 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United

States District Courts ("Rules") provides that the Rules "govern a 1 2 petition for a writ of habeas corpus" filed under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 by 3 a person in custody pursuant to a judgment of a state court. 28 foll. 4 U.S.C. § 2254, Rule 1. Rule 2(c) requires that the petition must: 5 (1) specify all the grounds for relief available to the 6 7 petitioner; (2) state the facts supporting each ground; 8 9 (3) state the relief requested; (4) be printed, typewritten, or legibly handwritten; and 10 (5) be signed under penalty of perjury by the petitioner or 11 12 by a person authorized to sign it for the petitioner under 28 U.S.C. § 2242. 13 14 28 foll. U.S.C. § 2254, Rule 2(c). Rule 2(d) requires that the 15 petition "must substantially follow either the form appended to these 16 17 rules or a form prescribed by a local district-court rule." 28 foll. 18 U.S.C. § 2254, Rule 2(d). This district court, in Local Rule 83-16.1, 19 has prescribed a habeas corpus petition form, which it makes 20 "available to petitioners without charge." Id. 21 22 Here, petitioner, by failing to file an actual petition for writ of habeas corpus on the prescribed form, failing to set forth his 23 24 claims and provide the facts supporting his claims, and failing to 25 provide pertinent information, has not complied with Rule 2. Thus, this action is subject to summary dismissal under Rule 4 and Local 26

27 Rule 72-3.2. Specifically, Rule 4 provides that "[i]f it plainly28 appears from the petition and any attached exhibits that the

2

1 petitioner is not entitled to relief in the district court, the judge 2 must dismiss the petition and direct the clerk to notify the 3 petitioner." 28 foll. U.S.C. § 2254, Rule 4. Similarly, Local Rule 4 72-3.2 provides:

[I]f it plainly appears from the [habeas] petition and any exhibits annexed to it that the petitioner is not entitled to relief, the Magistrate Judge may prepare a proposed order for summary dismissal and submit it and a proposed judgment to the District Judge.

11

10

5

6

7

8

9

12 Local Rule 72-3.2. Since petitioner has not complied with the Rules, 13 the instant action should be summarily dismissed without prejudice. 14

15

ORDER

16 IT IS ORDERED that Judgment shall be entered summarily dismissing 17 without prejudice petitioner's request for an extension of time.

18 The Clerk of Court is ordered to serve this Opinion and Order on 19 petitioner.

20 The Clerk of Court shall provide petitioner with a form habeas 21 corpus petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2254.

22 23

24

wowl a

ANDREW J. GUILFORD UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

25
PRESENTED BY:
26 DATE: October 19, 2009

DATE: November 11, 2009

 27 /S/ ROSALYN M. CHAPMAN ROSALYN M. CHAPMAN
 28 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE R&R-MDO\09-7531.mdo - 10/19/09

3