1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	HADSELL STORMER KEENY RICHARDSON & RENICK, LLP ANNE RICHARDSON [S.B. #151541] arichardson@hskrr.com DAN STORMER [S.B. #101967] dstormer@hadsellstormer.com RADHIKA SAINATH [S.B. #25931] radhika@hskrr.com 128 N. Fair Oaks Avenue Pasadena, California 91103 Telephone: (626) 585-9600 Facsimile: (626) 577-7079  BONONI LAW GROUP, LLP WILLIAM S. WALDO [S.B. #073973] wwaldo@bononilawgroup.com MICHAEL J. BONONI [S.B. #130663] mbononi@bononilawgroup.com 915 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1950 Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 553-9200	E-FILED: 8-3-2011  JS-6
12	Facsimile: (213) 553-9215	
13	Counsel for Plaintiff Stephan L. Ballard	
14		
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
16	CENTRAL DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA
17		
18	STEPHAN L. BALLARD, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,	Case No. CV10-3186 PSG (SSx)
19	Plaintiffs,	[Consolidated with Case Nos. CV 09-7146 PSG (SSx) and CV 10-6312 PSG
20	V.	(SSx)
21	MISTRAS GROUP, INC., a Delaware Corporationl CONAM INSPECTION	PROPOSED FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER GRANTING FINAL
22	AND ENGINEERING SERVICES, INC., a Delaware Corporation; and	APPROVAL OF JOINT STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT
23	MISTRAS HOLDING GROUP, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	AND RELEASE AND DISMISSING ACTION
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	Defendants.	DATE: August 1, 2011
	· ·	TIME: 1:30 p.m. CTRM: 880
26		) CTRWI. 660
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>		Date Action Filed: March 29, 2010

7 8

22 | 23 |

filed on or about January 31, 2011, having read and considered all of the papers and argument of the parties and their counsel, having granted preliminary approval on May 30, 2011, having received Plaintiffs Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs and the Confidential Memoranda of both Parties and having directed that notice be given to all Class Members of preliminary approval of the Settlement and the final approval hearing and the right to be excluded from the Settlement, and having received no objections and good cause appearing,

the above-captioned action pursuant to the Joint Stipulation of Settlement ("Settlement")

The Court, having considered whether to order final approval of the settlement of

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. Terms used in this Judgment and Order of Final Approval have the meanings assigned to them in the Settlement.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the claims asserted in the Action by Plaintiffs Stephan L. Ballard and Patrick D. Quiroz ("Plaintiffs"), and over Class Members and Defendants.
- 3. The Court hereby makes final the conditional class certification the Court granted on May 30, 2011, and thus makes final for purposes of the Settlement only, the certification of a Class whose members consist of: all persons who were employed by Mistras Group, Inc. and/or its predecessor Conam Inspection and Engineering Services, Inc. formerly known as "Mistras Holdings Co.," in any position within the state of California, at any time between September 4, 2008 and August 29, 2010, as identified by Mistras using its employment records This certification for settlement purposes shall not be construed to be an admission by Defendants or a determination as to the certifiability of any class if the merits of class certification had been litigated in the Action, or in any other action.
- 4. The Court hereby finds that the Notice of Settlement, as mailed to all Class Members by April 19, 2011 fairly and adequately described the proposed Settlement, the manner in which Class Members could object to or participate in the Settlement, and the

5. The Court hereby finds that the Settlement, including the Gross Settlement Amount, is fair, reasonable, and adequate as to the Class, Plaintiffs and Defendants, and is the product of good faith, arms-length negotiations between the Parties, and further, that the Settlement is consistent with public policy, and fully complies with all applicable provisions of law. The Court makes this finding based on a weighing of the strength of Plaintiffs' claims and Defendants' defenses with the risk, expense, complexity, and duration of further litigation. The Court also finds that the Settlement is the result of non-collusive arms-length negotiations between experienced counsel representing the interests of the Class and Defendants, after thorough factual and legal investigation. In granting final approval of the Settlement, the Court considered the nature of the claims, the amounts paid in settlement, the allocation of settlement proceeds among the Class Members, and the fact that the Settlement represents a compromise of the Parties' respective positions rather than the result of a finding of liability at trial. Additionally, the Court finds that the terms of the Settlement have no obvious deficiencies and do not improperly grant preferential treatment to any individual Class Member. The Court further finds that the response of the Class to the Settlement supports final approval of the Settlement. Specifically, no Class Member objects to the Settlement, and only nine (9) Class Members (i.e., less than 2% of the Class) have opted out of the Settlement. A large percentage of Class Members have submitted claims.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

settlement under Rule 23, for the reasons stated in the Motion for Final Approval. Accordingly, the Court hereby finally and unconditionally approves the Settlement and authorizes Defendants to pay the individual Settlement Payments in accordance with the

terms of the Settlement. 9 6. The persons identified on Exhibit B to the Declaration of Bernie Lenhart, filed

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

August 2, 2011, have timely and validly requested exclusion from the Class and, therefore, are excluded. Such persons are not included in or bound by this Judgment and

Order of Final Approval, and they are not entitled to any recovery from the settlement

proceeds obtained through the Settlement. Those persons are: Diego Centeno, Douglas

Crowder, Jason Degula, Alberto Goint, Enda John Halpin, Fernando Llamas, Michael

William Price, Sean D. Tahirali and Jon E. Ward.

7. The Court orders the Parties to implement, and comply with, the terms of the Settlement.

8. The Court approves the settlement of the Released Claims as defined in the Settlement. As of the Effective Date of the Settlement, as defined in the Settlement, all of the Released Claims of each Class Member who did not timely opt out, as well as the Class Representatives' Released Claims, are and shall be deemed to be conclusively

released as against the Released Parties (as defined by the Settlement). As of the date of

this Judgment and Order of Final Approval, all Class Members who did not timely opt

out are bound by the instant Judgment and Order of Final Approval, and the Settlement.

Except as to such rights or claims that may be created by the Settlement, all Class

Members as of the date of this Judgment and Order of Final Approval who did not timely

opt out are hereby forever barred and enjoined from commencing or prosecuting any of

the Released Claims, either directly, representatively or in any other capacity, against

any of the Released Parties.

- 9. HADSELL STORMER KEENY RICHARDSON & RENICK, LLP and BONINI LAW GROUP are designated as Class Counsel. Class Counsel HADSELL STORMER KEENY RICHARDSON & RENICK, LLP and BONONI LAW GROUP shall continue to serve as Lead Counsel and shall oversee and perform the duties necessary to effectuate the settlement, including the distribution of attorneys' fees and costs.
- 10. Defendants shall make available to the Class Administrator for payment to the Participating Class Members, the Net Settlement Amount no later than fifteen business days after the Final Effective Date. Each Participating Class Member shall receive \$1,000, in addition toe the percentage of the funds provided in ¶ 15, *infra*.
- 11. Defendants do not object to Plaintiffs' request for Enhancement Payment in the amount of \$10,000 to both of the Class Representatives. The Court has considered Plaintiffs' request for a Enhancement Payment and, good cause appearing, hereby grants Plaintiffs' request in the amount of \$10,000 each and authorizes Defendants to pay this amount from the Gross Settlement Amount in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.
- 12. Defendants do not to oppose Plaintiffs' motion for reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. Plaintiffs submitted an updated cost bill showing costs to be \$20,000.86 as of August 1, 2011. The Court has considered Plaintiffs' motion for the award of attorneys' fees (of 19% of the total potential settlement amount, \$722,500) and costs and, good cause appearing, hereby awards Class Counsel attorneys' fees in the amount of \$137,500 and costs in the sum of \$20,000, and authorizes Defendants to pay such amounts in addition to the Settlement Amount in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.
- 13. Defendants further agreed in the Settlement to pay \$15,000 to Ballard and \$40,000 to Quiroz to settle their individual claims of retaliation and failure to hire. These payments are in addition to the \$1,000 each such individual is otherwise entitled to receive. In, exchange, Ballard and Quiroz are required to release all potential claims they may have against Defendantm and all such individual claims are hereby

## DISMISSED.

- 14. Defendants are to pay the reasonable costs of the Claims Administrator associated with notices to the Class and the administration of the Settlement and all costs associated with distribution of individual Settlement Payment to Class Members in accordance with the terms of the Settlement. The estimate of the Claims Administrations Costs is \$15,000, but in the event that the amount is larger, the expenses will be born exclusively by Defendants.
- 15. Defendants further agreed in the Settlement to pay from the Gross Settlement Amount the amount of \$10,000 to be split among the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") and class members pursuant to California Labor Code section 2699, et seq. (i.e., the California Private Attorneys General Act of 2004). Good cause appearing, the Court hereby authorizes Defendants to pay to the LWDA and class members the sum of \$10,000 from the Gross Settlement Amount, in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.
- 16. Defendants shall have no further liability for costs, expenses, interest, attorneys' fees, or for any other charge, expense, or liability, in connection with the above-captioned action except as provided in the Settlement.
- 17. Neither the Settlement nor any of the terms set forth in the Settlement constitute an admission by the Defendant of liability to the Plaintiffs or any Class Member; nor does this Judgment and Order of Final Approval constitute a finding by the Court of the validity of any of the claims alleged in the Action, or of any liability of Defendant. Neither the making of nor entering into the Settlement constitutes an admission by Defendant nor is this Judgment and Order of Final Approval a finding of the validity of any claims in the Action or of any other wrongdoing. Evidence of the making or entering into the Settlement shall not be offered or received into evidence in any action or proceeding against any party hereto in any Court, or other tribunal for any purpose, other than to enforce the instant Order of Final Approval, the instant Judgment, or the Settlement.