1			
2	JS-6		
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	WESTERN DIVISION		
11			
12			
13	FUTURELOGIC, INC.,		
14	Case No. 2:10-CV-7678-JFW (DTB) Plaintiff/Counterdefendant,		
15	v. FINAL JUDGMENT		
16 17	NANOPTIX, INC, Defendant/Counterclaimant.		
18			
19			
20	<u> </u>		
21	Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of U.S. Patent No. 7,594,855 ("'855 Patent"), Docket No. 55, came before the Hon. John F. Walter, District Judge Presiding. The evidence presented having been fully considered and		
22			
23			
24			
25	a decision having been fully rendered on November 2, 2011, in the Court's Order,		
26	Docket No. 121, the Court enters final judgment as follows:		
27	IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that:		
28			
	Proposed Final -1- Judgment		

1	1.	U.S. Patent No. 7,594,855 is invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b);	
2	2.	Plaintiff's Complaint and Defendant's counterclaim for declaratory	
3 4		judgment of noninfringement, and all defenses and counterclaims other	
5		than for invalidity under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b), are dismissed without	
6			
7		prejudice as moot;	
8	3.	Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment of Infringement of the '855	
9		Patent, Docket No. 69, is denied;	
10	4.	Defendant's Motion for Leave to File Amended Answer with	
11		In a witch to Compare Compare to the Alberta Defense Destruct No. CA in	
12		Inequitable Conduct Counterclaim and Defense, Docket No. 64, is	
13		denied; and	
14	5.	Defendant is entitled to costs as the prevailing party.	
15			
16			
<ul><li>17</li><li>18</li></ul>	Dated: November 15, 2011		
19		JOHN F. WALTER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	I		

Proposed Final Judgment