Doc. 349

JUDGMENT ON PHASE I CLAIMS

Dockets.Justia.com

Hollywood Foreign Press Association v. Red Zone Capital Partners II, L.P. et al

W02-WEST:6JTR1\406246673.7

1	RONALD L. OLSON (State Bar No. 044597)		
2	Ron.Olson@mto.com BRADLEY S. PHILLIPS (State Bar No. 085263)		
	Brad.Phillips@mto.com		
3	MANUEL F. CACHÁN (State Bar No. 216987) Manuel.Cachan@mto.com		
4	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP		
5	355 South Grand Avenue, 35th Floor		
6	Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560 Telephone: (213) 683-9100		
7	Facsimile: (213) 687-3702		
	MARTIN D. KATZ (State Bar No. 110681)		
8	mkatz@sheppardmullin.com		
9	WHITNEY WALTERS (State Bar No. 237781) www.alters@sheppardmullin.com		
10	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP		
11	1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1600 Los Angeles, CA 90067-6017		
12	Telephone: (310) 228-3700		
13	Facsimile: (310) 228-3701		
14	Attorneys for Defendants and Counterclaimant		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
21			

28

## FINAL JUDGMENT ON PHASE I CLAIMS

1 2 Pursuant to and in accordance with the Court's August 8, 2011 Order Granting In Part And Denying In Part Defendants' Motion For Summary Judgment 3 (Dkt. No. 182), April 30, 2012 Phase I Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law 4 (Dkt. No. 313) ("Phase I Findings") and July 24, 2012 Order Directing Entry of 5 Judgment on Phase I Claims and Continued Stay of Phase II Proceedings 6 7 (Dkt. No. 345), the Court finds that Defendants Red Zone Capital Partners II, L.P., Red Zone Capital GP, LLC, Red Zone Capital Management Company, LLC, and 8 9 Defendant and Counterclaimant dick clark productions, inc. (collectively, 10 "Defendants") are entitled to final judgment against Plaintiff the Hollywood Foreign Press Association ("HFPA") on the claims tried in Phase I of these proceedings. 11 (Dkt. No. 38 (Bifurcation Order).) 12 13 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that: 14 1. In accordance with the Court's ruling on Defendants' motion for 15 summary judgment (Dkt. No. 182), judgment is entered in favor of Defendants and 16 against HFPA on HFPA's Eleventh Claim for reformation. 17

2. In accordance with the Court's Phase I Findings, judgment is entered in favor of Defendants and against HFPA on the Phase I claims in HFPA's Fifth Claim for Relief for declaratory relief and on Defendant dcp's First Counterclaim for declaratory relief. The Court declares that: (a) "the extensions clause [of the 1993 amendment to the parties' 1987 main show agreement] permits dcp to exercise options [to produce and distribute the Golden Globe Awards Show] beyond the eight specified for the years 1998-2005 upon *any* 'extensions, renewals, substitutions or modifications' of the dcp-NBC license agreement, with or without HFPA's approval," (b) "dcp has the rights to produce and distribute the Golden Globe Awards show through the current term of the dcp-NBC Agreement (2018),"

and (c) "dcp also has irrevocable options granted by HFPA to do so for any further

-1-

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	'extensions, renewals, substitutions or modifications of the NBC Agreement,' with		
2	or without HFPA's approval." (Phase I Findings at 6:16-21; 62:10-13; 89:2-8.)		
3	3. In accordance with the Court's Phase I Findings, judgment is entered in		
4	favor of Defendants and against HFPA on HFPA's First Claim for Relief for		
5	trademark infringement, Second Claim for Relief for false association, Fourth Claim		
6	for Relief for breach of contract, and Ninth Claim for Relief for unfair competition		
7	insofar as those claims depend on whether Defendant dcp has the rights under the		
8	parties' main show agreement, as amended, to produce and distribute the Golden		
9	Globe Awards Show after 2011 without HFPA's approval.		
10	0 10 11/10		
11	DATED: August 22, 2012		
12			
13	Honorable A. Howard Matz United States District Court Judge		
14	Central District of California		
15	Respectfully submitted jointly by:		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1 2 3	DATED: August 15, 2012	O'Melveny & Meyers LLP DANIEL M. PETROCELLI LINDA J. SMITH DAVID J. MARROSO
4		By: /s/ David J. Marroso
5		David J. Marroso
6		Attorneys for Plaintiff
7		
8	DATED: August 15, 2012	Munger, Tolles & Olson LLP RONALD L. OLSON
9		Bradley S. Phillips MANUEL F. CACHÁN
11		Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton LLP
12		MARTIN D. KATZ
13		By: /s/ Martin D Katz
14		By:/s/ Martin D. Katz Martin D. Katz
15		Attorneys for Defendants and
16		Counterclaimant
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	WOO WERE CHEET AND COLUMN TO	-3- JUDGMENT ON PHASE I CLAIMS
	W02-WEST:6JTR1\406246673.7	JODGINENT ON THASE I CEANING