Paul Olds v. 3M Company

Doc. 299

Motion is GRANTED and that judgment be entered in favor of Lockheed Martin Corporation.

The Court's ruling granting Lockheed Martin Corporation's Motion for Summary Judgment is based on the findings of uncontroverted facts and conclusions of law set forth below, and as stated on the record at the August 19, 2013 hearing on the Motion for Summary Judgment.

UNCONTROVERTED FACTS

ISSUE: All of Plaintiff's causes of action against Lockheed Martin (negligence, strict products liability, and breach of warranty) fail for lack of causation because Plaintiff has no evidence that he was exposed to any asbestos-containing products for which Lockheed Martin is responsible.

MATERIAL FACTS:	SUPPORTING EVIDENCE:
aul Olds ("Plaintiff") sues	Plaintiff's Complaint [Docket No. 1],
pproximately forty-five defendants,	excerpts attached as Exhibit 1 to
acluding Lockheed Martin, for	Declaration of Deborah M. Parker
amages related to his alleged	("Parker Decl.") at pp. 5:14-8:23 and
sbestos exposure.	11:4-16:20.
laintiff alleges that he was exposed	Plaintiff's Complaint [Docket No. 1],
asbestos while serving in the	excerpts attached as Exhibit 1 to Parker
Inited States Air Force ("USAF")	Decl. at p. 9:21-22.
rom 1948 to 1968.	
s to Lockheed Martin, Plaintiff	Plaintiff's Complaint [Docket No. 1],
lleges that, during his USAF	excerpts attached as Exhibit 1 to Parker
ervice, he worked "with and around	Decl. at p. 10:4-6.
sbestos-containing Lockheed	2
	aul Olds ("Plaintiff") sues oproximately forty-five defendants, acluding Lockheed Martin, for amages related to his alleged abestos exposure. daintiff alleges that he was exposed asbestos while serving in the anited States Air Force ("USAF") om 1948 to 1968. as to Lockheed Martin, Plaintiff leges that, during his USAF arvice, he worked "with and around"

	aircraft engines, including, but not	
	limited to, the Lockheed F-80	
	engines, for which plaintiff contends	
	Lockheed Martin Corporation is now	
	legally responsible."	
4.	Plaintiff's deposition occurred on	Deposition of Paul Olds, excerpts
	January 15 through January 18,	attached as Exhibit 2 to Parker Decl.
	2013.	("Plaintiff's Depo.") at pp. 18-19:18-19;
		24-25:18-19; 63-64:18-19; and 66-
		67:18-19.
5.	Plaintiff worked on only one aircraft	Declaration of Valentino Jimenez
	type manufactured by Lockheed	("Jimenez Decl."), at ¶ 22.
	Martin: the F-80 Shooting Star.	

F-80 SHOOTING STAR MILITARY AIRCRAFT

6. Plaintiff testified that, while stationed at Williams Air Field from November of 1948 through August of 1950, he worked on F-80A, F-80B, and F-80C aircraft (hereinafter, "F-80").

Plaintiff's Depo., Vol. II at 26:14-27:1; 27:10-15.

- **Q.** Okay. Sir, am I correct that the next Air Force base that you were assigned to was Williams Field in Arizona?
- A. Correct.
- **Q.** And you believe that you arrived there in approximately October 1948?
- A. Probably November. I took a leave.
- **Q.** So your best estimate is November 1948?
- A. Right.
- Q. Yesterday you indicated that you believed you left there in August 1950. Does that still sound

1			;	accurate?
2			A.	Correct.
3			(Id. a	at 26:14-27:1.)
4				****
5				Do you recall the model or model number of any of the aircraft that
6			,	you performed hands-on work to?
7				Yes. Could you please tell me.
8			A.]	F-80A, -B, and –C, all three of
9			1	them.
10			(Id. a	at 26:10-15.)
11	7.	Plaintiff testified that all F-80	Plai	ntiff's Depo., Vol. II at 29:15-17.
12		aircraft he encountered were	Q.	Would you agree with me that an F-
13		Military aircraft.	A.	80 aircraft is a military aircraft?
14	8.	Plaintiff testified that he does not		ntiff's Depo., Vol. II at 27:23-28:5.
15		know how many F-80 aircraft were		-
16 17		present at Williams Field.	,	With respect to the F-80As that were present at Williams Field, how
18				many were there, if you know? I have no idea.
19				Okay. Is it also fair to say you
20				don't know how many F-80Bs or F-80Cs were at Williams Field?
21			A.	You're correct.
22	9.	Plaintiff testified that he does not	Plair	ntiff's Depo., Vol. II at 28:11-19.
23		know the construction serial	Q.]	Do you know the construction serial
24		number, Military serial number, or	1	number of any of those aircraft?
25		tail number of any F-80 aircraft		No. Do you know the military serial
26		that was present at Williams Field.		number of any of those aircraft?
27 28			Q.	Do you know the tail number of any of those military F-80s?

1			(<i>Id.</i> at 45:6-7.)
2			****
3			Q. Okay. Did you perform any work to the Lockheed aircraft that was at
5			Smoky Hill? A. Yes.
			Q. Okay. Let's talk about the aircraft.
6			What kind of aircraft was it? A. F-80.
7			1 00.
8			(<i>Id.</i> at 46:6-11.)
9			****
10			Q. How many F-80s were there?
11			A. One.
12			(<i>Id.</i> at 46:20-21.)
13	13.	Plaintiff testified that he does not	Plaintiff's Depo., Vol. II at 46:22-47:5.
14		know the construction serial	Q. Okay. Do you recall its
15		number, Military serial number,	construction serial number?
16		tail number, or sub-designation of	A. No.Q. Military serial number?
17		the F-80 aircraft that was present	Q. Military serial number?A. No.
18		at Smoky Hill.	Q. Tail number?
19			A. No.Q. Sub-designation?
20			A. No
21	14.	Plaintiff admits that he does not	Plaintiff's Depo., Vol. II at 53:12-15;
22		know the maintenance history of	54:9-18.
23		the F-80 that was at Smoky Hill or	Q. Also fair to say, sir, that you do not
24		whether any of the F-80	know the maintenance history of
25		components were original factory	the F-80 that was at Smoky Hill; true?
26		installed items.	A. True.
27			(<i>Id.</i> at 53:12-15.)
28			6

	Q. Sir, the F-80 that was at Smoky Hill, you have no personal knowledge or information as to its maintenance history or whether any of its products or equipment were the actual products and equipment
	that were originally installed at the Lockheed production facility; true?
	Mr. Green: Asked and answered.
	A. I don't know, to be honest. There's I'm not speculating, but I don't
	know the answer.
	(<i>Id.</i> at 54:9-18.)
15. During the 1940's through 1951,	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 15.
Lockheed Martin delivered to the	
USAF, and the USAF accepted and	
placed into Military service, over	
1,700 F-80 aircraft.	
16. Upon delivery to the Military, each	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 20.
F-80 aircraft was equipped with	
numerous components	
manufactured and supplied by	
multiple different companies	
unrelated to Lockheed Martin.	
17. After aircraft delivery to the	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 20.
Military, many of the F-80	
components were replaced	
numerous times for numerous	
reasons including but not limited to:	
scheduled maintenance, test flight	
	Lockheed Martin delivered to the USAF, and the USAF accepted and placed into Military service, over 1,700 F-80 aircraft. 16. Upon delivery to the Military, each F-80 aircraft was equipped with numerous components manufactured and supplied by multiple different companies unrelated to Lockheed Martin. 17. After aircraft delivery to the Military, many of the F-80 components were replaced numerous times for numerous reasons including but not limited to:

1 2 3	was related to helping remove the engine from the aircraft fuselage. Specifically, Plaintiff testified that	 Q. You indicated that you performed some hands-on work to the F-80 aircraft at Williams Fields. A. Correct. Q. Can you specifically distinguish the
4 5	his job was disconnecting the	work you performed on the F-80A
6	fuselage aft section from the	versus the F-80B verses the F-80C? A. All the same.
7	fuselage mid section.	(<i>Id.</i> at 32:13-19.)
8		*****
9		Q. Okay. And your work was with
10		respect to the engines that power this aircraft?
11		A. Yes.
12		(<i>Id.</i> at 34:9-11.)
13		****
14		Q. Okay. But, as you sit here today, you cannot recall any specific task
15		or duty that you performed to that
16		engine; true? A. No. To remove that engine from the
17 18		aircraft, everybody has a specific job to do. Some of them were on
19		the front of the engine, some of
20		them with the motor mounts. And my job was taking the aft section
21		off.
22		Q. Okay. That's a perfect example of a detailed task. You recall
23		specifically removing the aft fuselage section from the mid-
24		fuselage section?
25		A. Yes.
26		(<i>Id</i> . at 35:21-36:8.)
27	21. Plaintiff testified that the aft	Plaintiff's Depo., Vol. II at 36:9-11.
28	fuselage section is made of all	
	i i	1.1

22. Plaintiff testified that the mid fuselage section is made of all metal. 23. Plaintiff testified that the task of removing the aft fuselage section is a "fairly simple and quick task." 24. Plaintiff testified that, to disconnect the F-80 aft fuselage section, the first task he specifically recalls performing was opening an access panel to access and disconnect the rudder cable, elevator rod, and aileron cables. 25. Plaintiff testified that the task of removing the aft fuselage section is a fairly simple and quick task? A. Yes. 26. Plaintiff testified that, to disconnect the F-80 aft fuselage section from the mid fuselage section, the first task he specifically recalls performing was opening an access panel to access and disconnect the rudder cable, elevator rod, and aileron cables. 27. Plaintiff's Depo., Vol. II at 36:12-14. 28. Plaintiff's Depo., Vol. II at 36:15-19. 29. And you would agree with me that the task of removing the aft fuselage section from the midfuselage section from the midfuselage section from the midfuselage section, the first task he specifically recalls performing was opening an access panel to access and disconnect the rudder cable, elevator rod, and aileron cables. 20. Okay. Now, let me make sure I have the universe of tasks that you specifically recall performing with respect to the removal of the F-80 aft fuselage section. A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now, let me make sure I have the universe of tasks that you specifically recall performing with respect to the removal of the F-80 aft fuselage section from the midfuselage section. A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now, let me make sure I have the universe of tasks that you specifically recall performing with respect to the removal of the F-80 aft fuselage section. A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now, let me make sure I have the universe of tasks that you specifically recall performing with respect to the removal of the F-80 aft fuselage. A. Correct. Q. Okay. So you disconnected the rudder cable, the elevator od, and the aileron cables; true? A. Cor	1 2 3		metal.	Q. Okay. And, sir you would agree with me that the aft fuselage section is made of all metal?A. Correct.
A. Yes. 24. Plaintiff testified that, to disconnect the F-80 aft fuselage section from the mid fuselage section, the first task he specifically recalls performing was opening an access panel to access and disconnect the rudder cable, elevator rod, and aileron cables. 26. Plaintiff's Depo., Vol. II at 37:24-38:7; 38:11-21. Q. Okay. Now, let me make sure I have the universe of tasks that you specifically recall performing with respect to the removal of the F-80 aft fuselage section. A. Correct. Q. The first thing you would do would open up an access panel? A. Correct. Q. In order to access the rudder cable, the elevator rod, and the aileron cables; true? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now, let me make sure I have the universe of tasks that you specifically recall performing with respect to the removal of the F-80 aft fuselage section. A. Correct. Q. The first thing you would do would open up an access the rudder cable, the elevator rod, and the aileron cables; true? A. Correct. Q. Okay. So you disconnected the	5 6 7 8 9 10		fuselage section is made of all metal. Plaintiff testified that the task of removing the aft fuselage section from the mid-fuselage section is a	 Plaintiff's Depo., Vol. II at 36:12-14. Q. And the mid-fuselage section is made of all metal? A. Correct. Plaintiff's Depo., Vol. II at 36:15-19. Q. And you would agree with me that the task of removing the aft fuselage section from the mid-fuselage section is a fairly simple
disconnect the F-80 aft fuselage section from the mid fuselage section, the first task he specifically recalls performing was opening an access panel to access and disconnect the rudder cable, elevator rod, and aileron cables. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 20 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 28 28 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20		2.4		A. Yes.
26 (<i>Id.</i> at 38:11-21.) 27	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	24.	disconnect the F-80 aft fuselage section from the mid fuselage section, the first task he specifically recalls performing was opening an access panel to access and disconnect the rudder cable,	 38:11-21. Q. Okay. Now, let me make sure I have the universe of tasks that you specifically recall performing with respect to the removal of the F-80 aft fuselage section from the midfuselage section. A. Correct. Q. The first thing you would do would open up an access panel? A. Correct. Q. In order to access the rudder cable, the elevator rod, and the aileron cables; true?
Q. Okay. So you disconnected the				(<i>Id.</i> at 38:11-21.)
				Q. Okay. So you disconnected the

,				
1			A.	Correct.
2			Q.	You disconnected the cables for the ailerons?
3			A.	Correct.
4			Q.	And you disconnected the rod for
5				the elevators?
			A.	Correct.
6 7			(Id.	at 37:24-38:7.)
8	25.	Plaintiff admits that the entire	Pla	intiff's Depo., Vol. II at 31:6-20;
9		exterior of the F-80 fuselage and	36:9	9-14; 38:22-39:1.
		all of the access panels on the	O.	You would agree with me that the
10		exterior of the fuselage, including	ν.	entire exterior of the aircraft
11		the specific access panel he opened	A.	fuselage is made of all metal? Correct.
12		to access and disconnect the rudder	Α.	Correct.
13		cable, elevator rod, and aileron	(Id.	at 31:6-9.)
14		cables are made of all-metal.		****
15		cables are made of an-metal.	Q.	You would agree with me that all of
16				the access panels on the exterior of
10				the fuselage –
17			A.	Correct.
18			Q.	are made of all metal –Correct.
19			A.	Correct.
			(Id.	at 31:16-20.)
20			`	****
21			Q.	Okay. You would agree with me
22				that that access panel and panels
23				that you had to open to disconnect the rudder, elevator, and aileron
24				cables and rods is made of all
25				metal?
26			A.	Aluminum, yes.
27			(Id.	at 38:22-302:1.)
28	26.	Plaintiff admits that the rudder	Plai	intiff's Depo., Vol. II at 39:6-14.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		cable, elevator rod, and aileron cable are made of all metal.	 Q. Very good. You would agree with me that the rudder cable is made of all metal? A. Oh, Correct. Q. You would agree wit me that the elevator rod is made of all metal? A. Correct. Q. And you would agree with me that the aileron cable is made of all metal? A. Correct. A. Correct.
9 10 11 12 13 14	27.	Plaintiff admits that all of the hardware and fasteners associated with the rudder cable, elevator rod, and aileron cable are made of all metal.	Plaintiff's Depo., Vol. II at 39:15-19. Q. And you would agree with me that all of the hardware and fasteners associated with the ruder cable, the elevator rod, and the aileron cable are also made of all metal? A. Correct.
15 16 17 18 19	28.	All of the items Plaintiff testified to encountering to access and disconnect the rudder cable, aileron cables, and elevator rod are made of metal not asbestos.	Jimenez Decl., ¶¶ 27 and 29.
2021222324252627	29.	The F-80 is powered by a single turbo jet engine (an Allison-manufactured J-33 engine), which is located entirely within the aircraft fuselage. Specifically, the engine is mounted on all-metal supports located in the aft portion of the fuselage mid section (i.e.,	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 27.
28			

1		the engine bay).	
2	30.	The F-80 aircraft fuselage is built	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 27.
3		into three separate sections: nose	
4		section, mid section, and aft	
5		section.	
6			
7	31.	The F-80 mid and aft fuselage	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 23.
8		sections are separable through	
9		three quickly detachable all-metal	
10		tension fittings to accommodate	
11		engine installation and removal.	
12	32.	The F-80 fuselage is equipped with	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 27.
13		multiple access panels to	
14		accommodate access to various	
15		assemblies, subassemblies and	
16		components, including the flight	
17		control cables and rods.	
18	33.	The entire F-80 fuselage structure,	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 27.
19		including all skin and access	
20		panels, is made of metal.	
21		Specifically, the fuselage skin and	
22		access panels are of aluminum	
23		alloy construction.	
2425	34.	All hardware and fasteners	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 27.
		associated with the F-80 fuselage	
2627		access panels are made of all	
		metal.	
28			

35.	The F-80 aircraft rudder and	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 29.
	aileron control systems cables are	
	made of all-steel, and are equipped	
	with corrosion-resistant steel	
	fittings.	
36.	The F-80 rudder and aileron	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 29.
	control cables are attached to all-	
	metal structures with quick	
	disconnect assemblies comprised	
	of all-metal hardware/fasteners	
	(e.g., bolts, screws and/or	
	brackets). These cables are	
	disconnected by removing the all-	
	metal hardware/fasteners.	
37.	The F-80 aircraft elevator control	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 29.
	system contains a series of push-	
	pull tubes or "rods" made of	
	aluminum alloy and steel. The	
	elevator push-pull tubes are	
	disconnected by removing all-	
	metal bolts that attach the all-metal	
	tubes to the all-metal arms.	
38.	Plaintiff testified that, after	Plaintiff's Depo., Vol. II at 38:5-39:24.
	disconnecting the elevator rod, the	Q. And you disconnected the rod for
	next task he specifically recalls	the elevators?
	performing was disconnecting a	A. Correct.Q. Okay.
1	hydraulic hose for the dive brake.	γ. Okay.

1 2 3			Q.	Okay. Now, let me make sure I have the universe of tasks that you specifically recall performing with respect to the removal of the F-80 aft fuselage section from the mid-
4				fuselage section.
5 6			A.	Correct.
7			(Id.	at 38:5-15.)
8				****
9			Q.	The next task you would do is you would disconnect a hydraulic hose
10			A.	Correct.
11			(Id.	at 39:22-24.)
12 13	39.	Plaintiff testified that, to	,	intiff's Depo., Vol. II at 40:6-9.
14		disconnect the hydraulic hose, he	Q.	And in order to disconnect the
15		loosened a B-nut attached to the hose.		hydraulic hose, it would – you would loosen the B-nut attached to
16		nose.	A	it? Correct.
17	40.	Plaintiff admits that the exterior of	A. Plai	Intiff's Depo., Vol. II at 40:10-14.
18 19 20 21	101	the hydraulic hose, the B-nut and all other associated hardware, such as safety wire, are made of all-metal.		You would agree with me that the exterior of the hydraulic hose and the B-nut and all other associated hardware, such as safety wire, is all made of metal; true?
22			Α.	Correct.
23	41.	All of the items Plaintiff testified	Jim	enez Decl., ¶ 31.
2425		to encountering when		
		disconnecting the dive brake		
26 27		hydraulic hose are made of metal -		
28		not asbestos.		
ZO				

1	42.	The F-80 is equipped with a dive	Jim	nenez Decl., ¶ 31.
2		flap assembly (also called dive		
3		brake assembly), which includes		
4		an all-metal hydraulic line		
5		equipped with all-metal fasteners		
6		and hardware, including an all-		
7		metal threaded B-nut coupling.		
8	43.	The dive brake assembly hydraulic	Jim	nenez Decl., ¶ 31.
9		line is disconnected from an all-		
10		metal dive brake actuator by		
11		loosening and removing the all-		
12		metal B-nut coupling.		
13	44.	Aircraft safety wire is an industry	Jim	nenez Decl., ¶ 31.
14		standard item made of high		
15		strength metal.		
16 17	45.	Plaintiff testified that, after	Pla	intiff's Depo., Vol. II at 41:5-10.
18		removing the rudder cable, the	Q.	The next step you would do after
19		elevator rod, the aileron cable, and	Q.	removing the rudder cable, the
20		the hydraulic hose, the next task he		elevator rod, the aileron cable, and the hydraulic hose would be to put
21		performed was opening up a large		your hand in there and open up the
22		V-clamp that holds the tailpipe to		large V-clamp that holds the tailpipe to the exhaust cone?
23		engine exhaust cone.	A.	Correct.
24	46.	Plaintiff testified that, once the V-	Pla	intiff's Depo., Vol. II at 41:11-20.
25		clamped is opened up, he would	Q.	And once that V-clamped is opened
26		slide the V-Clamp off of the flange		up, you would just slide it back onto
27		and onto the tailpipe and then slide		the tailpipe, off of the flange, onto the tailpipe?
28		the tailpipe back.	A.	Correct.

1			The Deponent: No. Part of the insulating blanket.
2			mstrating blanket.
3			(<i>Id.</i> at 21:12-25.)
4	48.	Plaintiff testified that, to remove	Plaintiff's Depo., Vol. II at 60:1-61:6.
5		the blanket, he had to remove a	
6		thermocouple.	Q. Sir, with respect to thermocouples
7			that may have been present at any of the military bases, whether it's
8			associated with aircraft or engines
9			or something else, as you sit here
10			today, you cannot specifically recall the manufacturer or the brand or the
11			supplier of any of the
			thermocouples; true? Mr. Green: My objection is compound
12			Mr. Green: My objection is compound. The Deponent: No.
13			Q. Okay.
14			A. You want to know why?
15			Q. Sure.
16			A. When we get a newer airplane in, it comes directly from the
17			manufacturer, and it would have
			manufacturer's units on it. And like the F-80, we had six brand-new
18			ones come in. When we changed
19			the engine or pulled the tailpipe off,
20			we had to take the blanket off;
21			right? Take the exhaust blanket off. In order to do that, you got to
22			disconnect the thermocouple. I was
23			thinking about that last night, that I
24			lied to you yesterday. But that's the only part that I ever come in contact
25			with the thermocouples.
			Ms. Yee - Okay, sir, I'll move to strike
26			those portions that are nonresponsive and speculation.
27	49.	Plaintiff admits that the V-clamp,	Plaintiff's Depo., Vol. II at 41:21-42:3.
28		*	<u>-</u> ·

1 2 3 4 5 6 7		tailpipe, and exhaust cone are made of all-metal.	 Q. Exactly. Okay. You would agree with me that the V-clamp that holds the tailpipe to the exhaust cone is made of all metal? A. Correct. Q. Okay. You would also agree with me that the tailpipe and the exhaust cone are also made of all metal? A. Correct.
8	50.	All of the items Plaintiff testified	Jimenez Decl., ¶¶ 33 and 35-36.
10		to encountering when opening up	
11		the V-clamp, sliding back the V-clamp and tailpipe, and removing	
12		the exhaust tailpipe blanket and	
13		thermocouple are made of metal	
14		and fiberglass not asbestos.	
15	51.	The F-80 is equipped with a 96"	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 33.
16		exhaust pipe (also referred to as	
17		exhaust tailpipe or tailpipe) that	
18		extends from the aft section of the	
19		engine to the aft extremity of the	
20		aft fuselage.	
21	52.	The F-80 exhaust tailpipe is made	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 33.
22		of metal; specifically, it is of	
23		corrosion resistant steel	
24		construction.	
25	53.	The F-80 is equipped with an all-	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 33.
26		metal V-clamp assembly	
27		(manufactured by Solar Aircraft),	
28			

1 2		which is used to secure the exhaust	
3		tailpipe to a stainless steel tailpipe	
		adapter or flange (manufactured by	
4		Solar Aircraft).	
5	54.	The F-80 stainless steel tailpipe	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 33.
6		adapter/flange is attached to the	
7		stainless steel aft section of the	
8		engine.	
9	55.	All hardware and fasteners	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 33.
10		associated with the V-clamp	, "
11		assembly are made of metal.	
12	56.	The F-80 exhaust tail pipe blanket	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 35.
13		is made of fiberglass (interior	
14		material), and is enclosed by an	
15		all-metal cover (either aluminum	
16			
17		alloy or stainless steel cover -	
18		depending on construction serial	
19	_	number).	
20	57.	The exhaust tail pipe blanket is	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 35.
21		wrapped around the all-metal	
22		exhaust tail pipe and is secured	
		with stainless steel or monel mesh	
23		lacing that is fastened/wrapped	
24		around metal hooks.	
25	58.	The F-80 aircraft thermocouple	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 36.
26		circuit employs temperature	
27		indicators (located on the	
28	L	·	

	_		
1		instrument panel) and General	
2		Electric-manufactured	
3		thermocouples that are located	
4		inside the all-metal exhaust	
5		tailpipe.	
6	59.	Each thermocouple houses all-	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 36.
7		metal elements (i.e., alumel and	
8		chromel) that transmit a	
9		temperature signal to the cockpit	
10		indicator.	
11	60.	The thermocouple is removed by	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 36.
12		cutting all-metal safety wire,	
13		removing an all-metal B-nut,	
14		removing an all-metal washer, and	
15		pulling the thermocouple probe	
16		(which has an all-metal exterior	
17		surface) from the all-metal tailpipe	
18		attach fitting that is welded to the	
19		all-metal tailpipe structure.	
20	61.	Plaintiff admits that he testified to	Plaintiff's Depo., Vol. II at 42:4-8.
21		all of the specific duties he recalls	Q. Okay. Have you now told me about
22		personally performing with respect	all of the specific duties that you
23		the removal of the F-80 aft	recall personally performing with respect to the removal of the F-80
24		fuselage section from the mid-	aft
2526		fuselage section.	fuselage section from the mid- fuselage section?
27			A. That's all I would do.
28	62.	Plaintiff testified that, in	Plaintiff's Depo., Vol. II at 42:9-24.
20			

performing his duties, he saw the crew chief roll the aft-section stand under the aircraft and other mechanics loosen the aft-section fuselage bolts and engine mount bolts.

63. Plaintiff admits that all of the aft-section fuselage bolts and their associated hardware/safety wire, the engine mount bolts, and the interior engine bay wall are made of all-metal.

- Q. Thank you, sir. Now, you indicated that in performing your duties, you saw some mechanics do other things. For example, you saw the crew chief get the aft fuselage section stand and roll it underneath the aircraft.
- A. Correct.
- **Q.** Okay. And you also saw other mechanics loosen the three engine mount bolts; true?
- **A.** Well, the aft section bolts first.
- **Q.** Okay. And there's three aft section bolts?
- **A.** I don't remember.
- **Q.** Okay. Either way, you had to loosen the bolts in order to disconnect the aft section –
- A. Right.

Plaintiff's Depo., Vol. II at 42:25-43:17.

- Q. [Regarding aft section bolts] You would agree with me that those bolts and all the hardware and safety wire associated with them is made of all metal?
- A. Correct.
- **Q.** Okay. You also indicated that you saw other mechanics loosen the three engine mount bolts; true?
- A. Correct.
- **Q.** Okay. And those three engine mount bolts are what secure the engine to the engine bay?
- A. Correct.
- **Q.** Okay. You would agree with me that those engine mount bolts are also made of all metal?

1			A. Correct.
2			Q. Okay. And you would agree with me that the interior of the engine bay is a metal wall? It's a big tube?
4			A. Correct.
5	64.	Plaintiff admits that he testified to	Plaintiff's Depo., Vol. II at 44:8-13.
6		all of the duties he performed and	Q. Fair to say you have now told me
7		saw others perform with respect to	all of the duties you performed and
8		the F-80 aircraft and its component	all of the duties you saw others perform with respect to F-80
9		parts while stationed at Williams	aircraft and its component parts
10		field.	while you were stationed at Williams Field, Arizona; true?
11			A. True, as far as I can go.
12	65.	All of the F-80 items Plaintiff	Jimenez Decl., ¶¶ 27 and 38-39.
13		testified to seeing other mechanics	
14		encounter when loosening the aft-	
15		section fuselage bolts and engine	
16		mount bolts are made of metal	
17		not asbestos.	
18	66.	The F-80 aircraft mid and aft	Jimenez Decl., ¶¶ 23 and 34.
19		fuselage sections are	
20		separated/connected through three	
21		attachment fittings (also called	
22		tension fittings) to accommodate	
23		engine installation and removal.	
24	67.	The F-80 tension fittings are	Jimenez Decl., ¶¶ 27 and 38.
25		integral to the all-metal fuselage	
26		structure and connected with	
27		mounting bolts and nuts (sometime	
28		referred to as aft or mid fuselage	
11			22

			,
1		mount bolts).	
2	68.	The F-80 tension fittings and all	Jimenez Decl., ¶¶ 27 and 38.
3		associated hardware and fasteners,	
4		including the mount bolts and nuts,	
5		are made of metal.	
6	69.	The F-80 aircraft engine is located	Jimenez Decl., ¶¶ 27 and 39.
7		entirely in the fuselage and is	
8		mounted on three engine mount	
9		supports located in the aft section	
10		of the mid-fuselage section (i.e.,	
11		the engine bay).	
12	70.	The F-80 aircraft engine mount	Jimenez Decl., ¶¶ 27 and 39.
13		supports are integral to the all-	
14		metal fuselage structure and are	
15		made of aluminum alloy and steel.	
16	71.	Each engine mount support is a	Jimenez Decl., ¶¶ 27 and 39.
17		hinged clam shell type assembly	
18		that, when closed, securely	
19		encloses an all-metal captive ball	
20		assembly, which is integral to the	
21		all-metal structure of the engine.	
22	72.	The fuselage aft section stand is	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 44.
23		ground equipment used to support	
24		and transport the fuselage aft	
25		section; it contains no asbestos.	
26	73.	Regarding Plaintiff's one week	Plaintiff Depo., Vol. II at 47:23-48:22.
27		assignment at Smoky Hill, Plaintiff	O Sin with respect to the are E 90
28			Q. Sir, with respect to the one F-80

testified that, in addition to removing the aft fuselage section from the mid fuselage section (which Plaintiff admits involved the exact same duties that he performed at Williams Field), he helped remove the engine from the engine bay and replaced engine components.

that was present at Smoky Hill during that one week that you were there, do you recall specifically the duties that you performed to that aircraft?

- A. Yes.
- **Q.** Okay. Was it removing the aft fuselage section from the midfuselage section?
- A. Yes.
- Q. So, sir, to save you time, is it fair to say that the duties that you performed to the F-80 aircraft at Smoky Hill, you have already described those duties fully and completely to me this morning when we were talking about your work on F-80 aircraft at Williams Field: true?
- **A.** True. Except that Smoky Hill would get a little bit more on it.
- Q. Okay. What other work do you specifically recall doing to the F-80 at Smoky Hill other than removing the aft section of the fuselage from the midsection of the fuselage?
- **A.** Replacing components on the engine. After we removed it.
- **Q.** Did you personally help remove the engine from the engine bay?
- A. Yes.

74. Plaintiff testified that, in removing the engine from the engine bay, his hands-on duties were limited to disconnecting three engine bolts.

Plaintiff Depo., Vol. II at 48:20-49:6.

- **Q.** Did you personally help remove the engine from the engine bay?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And let's talk about that duty, and I don't want you to guess or speculate. But would it be a fair and accurate summary to say that

1 2 3 4 5			A. Q. A.	your hands-on duties for removing the engine were limited to the disconnecting the three engine bolts Correct engine mounts; true? - Correct.
6	75.	Plaintiff admits that the engine		intiff Depo., Vol. II at 49:7-10.
7		mount bolts, and all associated		Okov. And those engine mount
8		hardware, are made of all metal.	Q.	Okay. And those engine mount bolts are - and all of the associated hardware are made of all metal,
10			A.	true? True.
11	76.	All of the F-80 items that Plaintiff	Jim	nenez Decl., ¶¶ 27, 29, 31, 33, 35-36,
12		testified to encountering when	and	38-39.
13		removing the aft fuselage section		
14		and disconnecting the engine		
15		mount bolts are made of metal and		
16		fiberglass - not asbestos.		
17	77.	Regarding engine component	Pla	intiff's Depo., Vol. II at 49:15-20;
18		removal work at Smoky Hill,	51:8	8-17; 52:10-15.
19		Plaintiff testified that he removed	Q.	You indicated that some
20		only three engine components: the	Q.	components were taken off of the
21		starter, the hydraulic pump, and	A.	engine. Correct.
22		the hydraulic pump gasket.	Q.	Did you personally do that?
23			Α.	I removed the starter and the hydraulic pump.
24				
25			(Id	at 49:15-20.)
26			0.	***** Sir, do you specifically recall
2728			Ų.	removing the starter gasket on the engine that powered the F-80 when

1			you were at Smoky Hill in Kansas?	
			A. No, I don't.	
2			Q. Okay. Thank you, sir. Sir as you sit	
3			here today, under oath, do you	
4			recall removing any other	
5			component or installing or handling any other component for the engine	
6			that powers the F-80 at Smoky Hill?	,
7			A. Hydraulic pump.	
8			(<i>Id.</i> at 51:8-17.)	
9			****	
10			Q. Okay. At you sit here today, sir, do	
			you actually recall removing a hydraulic pump gasket?	
11			A. Yes.	
12				
13			(<i>Id.</i> at 52:10-12.)	
14	78.	Plaintiff admits that, with respect	Plaintiff's Depo., Vol. II at 55:15-19.	
11				
15		to the F-80 at Smoky Hill, he	Ο All right Now with respect to the	
15 16		to the F-80 at Smoky Hill, he testified to all of the components	Q. All right. Now with respect to the F-80 that was at Smoky Hill, have	
16		•	F-80 that was at Smoky Hill, have you now told me all of the	
16 17		testified to all of the components and parts that he specifically	F-80 that was at Smoky Hill, have you now told me all of the components and parts that you	
16 17 18		testified to all of the components and parts that he specifically recalls handling and seeing others	F-80 that was at Smoky Hill, have you now told me all of the	
16 17 18 19		testified to all of the components and parts that he specifically	F-80 that was at Smoky Hill, have you now told me all of the components and parts that you specifically recall handling and see	
16 17 18	79.	testified to all of the components and parts that he specifically recalls handling and seeing others	F-80 that was at Smoky Hill, have you now told me all of the components and parts that you specifically recall handling and see others handle?	
16 17 18 19	79.	testified to all of the components and parts that he specifically recalls handling and seeing others handle.	F-80 that was at Smoky Hill, have you now told me all of the components and parts that you specifically recall handling and see others handle? A. Yes.	
16 17 18 19 20	79.	testified to all of the components and parts that he specifically recalls handling and seeing others handle. Plaintiff testified that the engine starter and hydraulic pump are	F-80 that was at Smoky Hill, have you now told me all of the components and parts that you specifically recall handling and see others handle? A. Yes. Plaintiff's Depo., Vol. II at 49:21-50: 6; 50:17-20; 51:19-52:4.	
16 17 18 19 20 21	79.	testified to all of the components and parts that he specifically recalls handling and seeing others handle. Plaintiff testified that the engine starter and hydraulic pump are attached to all-metal accessory	F-80 that was at Smoky Hill, have you now told me all of the components and parts that you specifically recall handling and see others handle? A. Yes. Plaintiff's Depo., Vol. II at 49:21-50: 6; 50:17-20; 51:19-52:4. Q. You would agree with me that the	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	79.	testified to all of the components and parts that he specifically recalls handling and seeing others handle. Plaintiff testified that the engine starter and hydraulic pump are attached to all-metal accessory pads located on the all-metal	 F-80 that was at Smoky Hill, have you now told me all of the components and parts that you specifically recall handling and see others handle? A. Yes. Plaintiff's Depo., Vol. II at 49:21-50: 6; 50:17-20; 51:19-52:4. Q. You would agree with me that the mounting pad where the starter is 	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	79.	testified to all of the components and parts that he specifically recalls handling and seeing others handle. Plaintiff testified that the engine starter and hydraulic pump are attached to all-metal accessory	 F-80 that was at Smoky Hill, have you now told me all of the components and parts that you specifically recall handling and see others handle? A. Yes. Plaintiff's Depo., Vol. II at 49:21-50: 6; 50:17-20; 51:19-52:4. Q. You would agree with me that the mounting pad where the starter is attached to the accessory gear drive is made of all metal? 	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	79.	testified to all of the components and parts that he specifically recalls handling and seeing others handle. Plaintiff testified that the engine starter and hydraulic pump are attached to all-metal accessory pads located on the all-metal	 F-80 that was at Smoky Hill, have you now told me all of the components and parts that you specifically recall handling and see others handle? A. Yes. Plaintiff's Depo., Vol. II at 49:21-50: 6; 50:17-20; 51:19-52:4. Q. You would agree with me that the mounting pad where the starter is attached to the accessory gear drive 	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	79.	testified to all of the components and parts that he specifically recalls handling and seeing others handle. Plaintiff testified that the engine starter and hydraulic pump are attached to all-metal accessory pads located on the all-metal	F-80 that was at Smoky Hill, have you now told me all of the components and parts that you specifically recall handling and see others handle? A. Yes. Plaintiff's Depo., Vol. II at 49:21-50: 6; 50:17-20; 51:19-52:4. Q. You would agree with me that the mounting pad where the starter is attached to the accessory gear drive is made of all metal? A. Yes.	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	79.	testified to all of the components and parts that he specifically recalls handling and seeing others handle. Plaintiff testified that the engine starter and hydraulic pump are attached to all-metal accessory pads located on the all-metal	 F-80 that was at Smoky Hill, have you now told me all of the components and parts that you specifically recall handling and see others handle? A. Yes. Plaintiff's Depo., Vol. II at 49:21-50: 6; 50:17-20; 51:19-52:4. Q. You would agree with me that the mounting pad where the starter is attached to the accessory gear drive is made of all metal? 	

1			Q. [Regarding hydraulic pump] You would agree with the accessory pad
3			on the accessory drive case is made of all metal?
4			A. Correct.
5			(<i>Id.</i> at 52:1-4.)
6			****
7			Q. The entire accessory drive case is
8			made of metal? A. Correct.
9			
10			(<i>Id.</i> at 50:4-6.)
11	80.	Plaintiff admits that the F-80	Plaintiff's Depo., Vol. II at 50:9-16;
		engine starter and hydraulic pump	51:19-25.
12		are fully encased in all-metal	
13		housings, and that all associated	Q. Okay. And you would agree with me, sir, that a starter is fully housed
14		hardware, fasteners and safety wire	and fully encased in an all-metal
15		·	housing?
16		also are made of all metal.	A. Correct.Q. And you would agree with me that
17			the bolts and other fasteners and the
18			safety wire associated with it are
			made of all metal? A. Correct.
19			A. Confect.
20			(<i>Id.</i> at 50:9-16.)
21			****
22			Q. You would agree with me that the
23			hydraulic pump is also fully housed in an all-metal housing?
24			A. Correct.
25			Q. You would agree with me that the
26			hardware associated with the hydraulic pump is made of all
			metal?
27			A. Correct.
28			

1			(<i>Id.</i> at 57:14-17.)
2	84.	All of the items Plaintiff testified	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 42.
3		to encountering when removing	
4		the engine starter and hydraulic	
5		pump are made of metal and other	
6		materials not asbestos.	
7	85.	The F-80 engine starter is fully	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 42.
8		encased in an all-metal housing.	
9	86.	The F-80 engine hydraulic pump is	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 42.
10		fully encased in an all-metal	
11		housing.	
12	87.	The F-80 engine starter and engine	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 42.
13		hydraulic pump are affixed with	
14		all-metal hardware/fasteners/safety	
15		wire to the all-metal accessory	
16		mounting pads located on the all-	
17		metal accessory gear drive.	
18	88.	The engine accessory gear drive is	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 42.
19		made of metal (i.e., magnesium	
20		alloy), and is flange mounted to	
21		the all-metal front truss and ring,	
22		which is integral to the forward	
23		section of the engine.	
24	89.	The Military specifications	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 42.
25		applicable to the hydraulic pump	
26		accessory interface gasket do not	
27		include or list asbestos in the	
28			

material composition of that
gasket.

90. Regarding Plaintiff's entire
Military career, Plaintiff admits
that that the above-listed duties
(Material Fact Nos. 20-27, 38-40,
45-49, 61-64, 73-75, and 77-83)
are the only duties he recalls
performing, and/or seeing others
perform, on F-80 aircraft. Plaintiff
further admits that he has provided
his best testimony regarding all
work he recalls being performed at
each Military base he has visited
or worked at during his Military
career.

Plaintiff's Depo., Vol. II at 42:4-8; 44:8-13; 55:15-19; 58:5-24.

- Q. Okay. Have you now told me about all of the specific duties that you recall personally performing with respect to the removal of the F-80 aft fuselage section from the midfuselage section?
- **A.** That's all I would do.

(*Id.* at 42:4-8.)

- Q. Fair to say you have now told me all of the duties you performed and all of the duties you saw others perform with respect to F-80 aircraft and its component parts while you were stationed at Williams Field, Arizona; true?
- **A.** True, as far as I can go.

(*Id.* at 44:8-13.)

- Q. All right. Now with respect to the F-80 that was at Smoky Hill, have you now told me all of the components and parts that you specifically recall handling and see others handle?
- A. Yes.

(*Id.* at 55:15-19.)

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27 28

ISSUE: Lockheed Martin Is Immune From Liability Under Two Separate and Independent Doctrines: 1) Derivative Sovereign Immunity, and 2) The Government Contractor Defense. Plaintiff's failure-to-warn claims also are barred by California's sophisticated user doctrine.

The United States always has 91. delegated to and relied upon outside contractors, such as

> Lockheed Martin, for the development and manufacture of

Jimenez Decl., ¶¶ 9-12.

1		its Military aircraft.	
2	92.	The Military always has exercised	Jimenez Decl., ¶¶ 9-19.
3		a high degree of control, direction	
4		and involvement in the design,	
5		manufacture, testing and	
6		production of all Military aircraft,	
7		including all series of the F-80	
8		Shooting Star ("F-80").	
9	93.	The Military directed and	Jimenez Decl., ¶¶ 17-19.
10		controlled the inclusion, type,	
11		placement, and content of the	
12		finishes, markings, insignia,	
13		identifications, and warnings to be	
14		placed on all Military aircraft and	
15		aircraft components, including the	
16		F-80 aircraft and F-80 aircraft	
17		components.	
18	94.	The Military controlled the content	Jimenez Decl., ¶¶ 16 and 18.
19		of all manuals and publications	
20		governing maintenance, service,	
21		overhaul, and operation of all	
22		Military aircraft (hereinafter,	
23		"Aircraft Manuals"), including the	
24		F-80.	
25	95.	The Military published the Aircraft	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 16.
26		Manuals as Technical Orders, and	
27		controls all information contained	
28			

1		in them and owns them.	
2	96.	The United States Government's	Jimenez Decl., ¶¶ 9-12.
3		procurement of all Military aircraft	
4		has been conducted pursuant to	
5		detailed negotiated Government	
6		procurement contracts.	
7	97.	Lockheed Martin and the	Jimenez Decl., ¶¶ 11-14.
8		Government entered into	
9		Government procurement	
10		contracts requiring Lockheed	
11		Martin (then, Lockheed Aircraft	
12		Corporation) to manufacture and	
13		the Government to purchase F-80	
14		Military aircraft.	
15	98.	Lockheed Martin manufactured all	Jimenez Decl., ¶¶ 9-19.
16		of its Military aircraft, including	
17		the F-80 Military aircraft, at the	
18		direction of the Government	
19		pursuant to contractually delegated	
20		authority.	
21	99.	Lockheed Martin took no action in	Jimenez Decl., ¶¶ 9-19.
22		the manufacture of any Military	
23		aircraft, including the F-80	
24		Military aircraft, that went beyond	
25		the authority delegated to it by the	
26		Government.	
27	100.	All Military aircraft procurement	Jimenez Decl., ¶¶ 9-19.
28			

1 2		contracts, including those	
3		regarding the F-80 Military	
		aircraft, have included detailed	
4		Military-issued and/or Military-	
5		approved specifications.	
6	101.	The Government approved and	Jimenez Decl., ¶¶ 9-19.
7		required Lockheed Martin to	
8		follow detailed design,	
9		performance and material	
10		specifications when manufacturing	
11		the F-80 Military aircraft.	
12	102.	Lockheed Martin could not, and	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 11.
13		did not, commence manufacturing	
14		of the F-80 Military aircraft until	
15		the Government had agreed to all	
16		the specifications.	
17	103.	The Military-mandated	Jimenez Decl., ¶¶ 17-19.
18		specifications for the F-80	
19		included detailed specifications for	
20		the placement of warnings,	
21		markings, and insignia on aircraft,	
22		which prohibited Lockheed Martin	
23		from placing any warnings,	
24		markings or insignia other than	
25		those approved by the Military.	
26	104.	The Military-mandated	Jimenez Decl., ¶¶ 12-14, 16, and 18.
27		specifications for the F-80 Military	
28			<u> </u>

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27		aircraft included detailed direction and control over all information contained in the Aircraft Manuals.	
	105.	The Military published the F-80 Aircraft Manuals as Technical Orders, and the Military controls all information contained in them and owns them.	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 16.
	106.	The Military-mandated specifications for the F-80 set forth in detail, among other requirements, the equipment that the Government mandated Lockheed Martin to install in the Military aircraft. Some of this equipment included Government Furnished Equipment ("GFE").	Jimenez Decl., ¶¶ 12-14.
		GFE is equipment that the Government selects, procures, and furnishes to the contractor, such as Lockheed Martin, with mandatory installation instructions. The Government selected, procured, and furnished to Lockheed Martin, for mandatory installation in the F-80, a substantial quantity of GFE,	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 12. Jimenez Decl., ¶¶ 14 and 41.
28		1333335 02 02 25,	

1		including, but not limited to the	
2		Allison-manufactured J-33 engine	
3		complete with multiple attached	
4		engine assemblies, subassemblies	
5		and components, including the	
6		starter assembly, hydraulic pump	
7		mounting pad cover and hydraulic	
8		pump gasket. The Government	
9		supplied Lockheed Martin with all	
10		manuals concerning GFE;	
11		Lockheed Martin had no authority	
12		to alter or amend GFE manuals.	
13	109.	The Military had personnel	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 15.
14		stationed on-site at Lockheed	
15		Martin's manufacturing facilities	
16		inspecting and supervising the	
17		design, manufacture, testing and	
18		production of all Lockheed	
19		Martin-manufactured Military	
20		aircraft, including the F-80.	
21	110.	Before accepting delivery of any	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 15.
22		Lockheed Martin-manufactured	
23		Military aircraft, including the F-	
24		80, Military representatives	
25		inspected and tested the aircraft to	
26		ensure compliance with Military	
27		specifications.	
28			

1 2	111	. The Military's acceptance of each	Jimenez Decl., ¶ 15.
		aircraft means that the aircraft was	
3		designed and manufactured in	
4		strict accordance with Government	
5		contracts and all Military-	
6		mandated/approved specifications.	
7	112	. The USAF knew of potential	Air Forces Manual No. 30, dated July
8		health hazards of asbestos by at	1944, excerpts of which are attached as
9		least 1944 and prescribed	Exhibit 3 to Parker Decl. at p. 71
10		precautionary procedures for	(Section C(1)); and
11		dealing with asbestos dust.	Ground Safety Accident Prevention
12			Handbook, dated June 1949, excerpts
13			of which are attached as Exhibit 4 to
14			Parker Decl. at p. 74 (Section 6.1-1(1)
15			and p. 74-A[chart]).
16			1 2 3/
17	113	. Army Air Forces Manual No. 30,	Air Forces Manual No. 30, attached as
18		dated July 1944, and entitled	Exhibit 3 to Parker Decl. at p. 71
19		"Ground Safety Rules, A Manual	(Section C(1)).
20		for Safe Rules and Practices,"	
21		recognized that "[t]he degree of	
22		harmful exposure to silica and	
23		asbestos dust is determined by four	
24		factors: by the proportion of free	
25		silica or asbestos dust found in the	
26		dust, by the size of the dust	
27		particles (the smaller, the more	
28		paraeles (the simular, the more	

_		
1	dangerous), by the concentration	
2	of the dust or the number of dust	
3	particles per cubic foot of air, and	
4	by the length of the exposure."	
5	114. In the 1940s and 1950s, the	Air Forces Manual No. 30, attached as
6	Military established specific	Exhibit 3 to Parker Decl. at p. 71
7	precautionary procedures for	(Section C(1);
8	dealing with asbestos dust.	Ground Safety Accident Prevention
9		Handbook, attached as Exhibit 4 to
10		Parker Decl. at p. 74 (Section 6.1-1(1)
11		and p. 74-A [chart]);
12		Air Force Pamphlet 160-1-1, dated
13		September 13, 1951, excerpts of which
14		are attached as Exhibit 5 to Parker Decl.
15		at pp. 76 and 77 [chart]; and
16		Air Force Pamphlet 160-6-1, dated
17		September 2, 1952, excerpts of which
18		are attached as Exhibit 6 to Parker Decl.
19		at pp. 81-82, Par. 3(c).
20	115. The USAF established a	Air Force Pamphlet 160-1-1, attached
21	"Respiratory Protection Program"	as Exhibit 5 to Parker Decl. at pp. 76
22	by 1951, which specified	and 77 [chart].
23	respiratory protection equipment	
24	for "pneumoconiosis-producing	
25	dusts," including asbestos.	
26		
27	116. By 1952, the USAF established a	Air Force Pamphlet 160-6-1, excerpts
28	-	

specific "threshold limit value" for asbestos dust; specifically, 5 million particles of dust per cubic feet of air for eight hours per day, five days per week, 50 weeks per year.

of which are attached as Exhibit 6 to Parker Decl. at pp. 81-82, Par. 3(c).

117. During the period of time that
Plaintiff encountered Military
Aircraft, including the F-80,
Lockheed Martin had no
knowledge superior to that of the
United States Government of any
hazards associated with the use of
asbestos in general or on aircraft in
particular.

Jimenez Decl., ¶ 45.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. This Court exercises original subject matter jurisdiction over this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332, because the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and is between citizens of different States.
- 2. Summary judgment is appropriate when there is no genuine dispute. Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(a). A dispute as to a material fact is genuine if the evidence is such that a reasonable jury could return a verdict for the nonmoving party. *Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.*, 477 U.S. 242 (1986).
- 3. All of Plaintiff's causes of action against Lockheed Martin (negligence, strict products liability, and breach of warranty) fail for lack of causation because

Lockheed Martin presented undisputed evidence, including Plaintiff's own deposition testimony, that Plaintiff was not exposed to any asbestos-containing product for which Lockheed Martin may be liable. *O'Neil v. Crane Co.*, 53 Cal.4th 335 (2012). Even if Plaintiff encountered asbestos-containing products, there is no admissible evidence Lockheed Martin manufactured or supplied such products. Lockheed Martin's objections to the admissibility of Plaintiff's expert Mark Thomson are sustained because Mr. Thomson has no firsthand knowledge of Plaintiff's work. *See Tyler v. Foster Wheeler Co., Inc.*, MDL 875, 2011 WL 5506026 (July 5, 2011).

- 4. Lockheed Martin also prevails as a matter of law under its government contractor defense as set forth in *Boyle v. United Technologies Corp.*, 487 U.S. 500 (1988). The government contractor defense is satisfied here because the design specifications for the F-80 aircraft at issue were Government-mandated and/or approved, the aircraft conformed to those specifications, and Lockheed Martin did not fail to warn the Government of any dangers known to Lockheed Martin and unknown to the Government. Moreover, with respect to the aircraft components implicated by Plaintiff's claims, the Government not only approved reasonably precise specifications, but actually selected, purchased and provided the equipment to Lockheed Martin in the form of "Government Furnished Equipment." The government contractor defense also applies to claims of failure to warn. *See Tate v. Boeing Helicopters*, 55 F. 3d 1150, 1157 (6th Cir. 1995).
- 5. Lockheed Martin also prevails on its defense of derivative sovereign immunity. See Yearsley v. W.A. Ross Construction Co., 309 U.S. 18 (1940); see also City of Worcester v. HCA Management Co., Inc., 753 F. Supp. 31, 37 (D. Mass. 1990). Lockheed Martin has established the requisite elements of this defense by showing that it complied with validly conferred authority from the government and did not independently harm Plaintiff. There is no evidence that Lockheed

- Martin acted beyond its validly conferred authority or that it caused harm through independent tortious conduct.
- 6. To the extent Plaintiffs rely on the declaration of their retained expert, Mark Thomson, to create a triable dispute of material fact regarding Lockheed Martin's government contractor defense and derivative sovereign immunity defense, Lockheed Martin's objections to Mr. Thomson's declaration are sustained.
- 7. Plaintiff's failure-to-warn claims are barred by California's sophisticated user doctrine. Plaintiff, by virtue of his employment as a uniformed mechanic in the United States Air Force, is deemed to have had the same state-of-the-art knowledge as the Air Force concerning any potential health hazards of asbestos. The admissible evidence establishes that the Air Force had more knowledge of such risks than Lockheed Martin. *See In re Related Asbestos Cases*, 543 F.Supp. 1142, 1151 (N.D. Cal. 1982).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _Oct. 16, 2013___

By: Hon, Manuel L. Real