1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	WESTERN DIVISION
10	
11	CARMEL SPITERI,) No. ED CV 11-00822-DSF (VBK)
12	Plaintiff,) ORDER (1) ACCEPTING THE FINDINGS) AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED
13	v.) STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE, AND (2)) GRANTING DEFENDANTS COUNTY OF
14	RIVERSIDE COUNTY, et al.,) RIVERSIDE, SHERIFF SNIFF AND) CARREN ROBINSON'S MOTION TO
15	Defendants.) DISMISS WITH LEAVE TO AMEND AS TO) CERTAIN CAUSES OF ACTION
16) (CERTAIN CAUSES OF ACTION
1 1	Durguest to 20 H C C SC2C, the Count has need the Completet

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636, the Court has reviewed the Complaint 17 18 and all other papers along with the attached Report and Recommendation 19 of the United States Magistrate Judge. Further, the Court has engaged in <u>de</u> <u>novo</u> review of Plaintiff's "Motion for Reconsideration re: 20 Honourable Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, " Defendants' 21 "Reply to Objections to Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge 22 (Mislabeled as Motion for Reconsideration), " Plaintiff's Objection to 23 the Honourable Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation," and 24 Defendants' "Reply to Objections to Report and Recommendation of 25 Magistrate Judge." 26

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Court: (1) accepts the findings
and recommendations of the United States Magistrate Judge; (2) grants

1	Defendants City of Riverside, Sheriff Sniff and Carren Robinson's
2	Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Second Cause of Action without leave to
3	amend and grants Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First,
4	Third, Sixth and Seventh Causes of Action with leave to amend; and (3)
5	Plaintiff is ordered to amend his Complaint to address the above-noted
6	deficiencies and file a First Amended Complaint no later than June 18,
7	2012. $(\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$
8	2012. Dale S. Jescher
9	5/10/12 DATED:
10	DALE S. FISCHER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	