lalbert Dean Sarp	py v. Rialto Police Dept et al	Doc. 4
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	HALBERT DEAN SARPY,) Case No. ED CV 12-426-GAF (SP)
12	Plaintiff,	}
13	v.	ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED
14	JAVIER PULIDO, et al.,	STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
15	Defendants.	}
16		}
17		
18	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Second Amended	
19	Complaint, records on file, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States	
20	Magistrate Judge. Defendants have not filed any written Objections to the Report	
21	within the time permitted. The Court accepts the findings and recommendation of	
22	the Magistrate Judge.	
23	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendants' Motion to Dismiss is denied.	
24	Defendants are ordered to answer the Second Amended Complaint within fourteen	
25	days of this Order.	4. 1
26		Harry tees
27	DATED: June 11, 2013	HONORABLE GARY A. FEES
28		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE