1			0
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	CHRISTOPHER W. AYERS,) CASE NO. ED CV 12-00809 RZ	
12	Plaintiff,))) MEMORANDUM OPINION	
13	VS.) AND ORDER	
14	CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,		
15			
16	Defendant.) _)	
_		~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	

17 The Social Security Appeals Council accepted a large number of documents 18 after the Administrative Law Judge made his decision, but stated that those documents did 19 not provide a basis for changing the decision. [AR 2] Those documents, however, contained a questionnaire filled out by a physician who stated that she had treated Plaintiff, 20 21 and in which she stated her opinion that Plaintiff could not function sufficiently to be 22 employed. This submission, and the Appeals Council's statement, place this case in a posture similar to that faced in Taylor v. Commissioner of Social Security, 659 F.3d 1228 23 24 (9th Cir. 2011). Although the Court sympathizes with (and agrees with) the colorful 25 sentiment expressed by the Commissioner in her Memorandum here ("Judges are not like pigs, hunting for truffles buried in briefs," (quoting from Greenwood v. F.A.A., 28 F.3d 26 27 971, 977 (9th Cir. 1994), and United States v. Dunkel, 927 F.2d 955, 956 (7th Cir. 1991)), 28 it was the Appeals Council that elected to receive the materials and allow them to be considered. If they are to be considered, then they should be considered fully; the Court
will not imply any findings as to the treating physician's assessment. The Court feels
constrained by *Taylor* to return the matter to the Commissioner to make any such
assessment.

Accordingly, the matter is remanded to the Commissioner for further
consideration of the Administrative Law Judge's decision, in light of the materials received
into evidence by the Appeals Council.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 10, 2013

ISTRATE JUDGE UNITED STATES MA