1 ι<sup>(</sup> 2 FILED CLERK, U.S.D.C. SOUTHERN DIVISION I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS DOCUMENT WAS SERVED BY 3 FIRST CLASS MAIL, POSTAGE PREPAID, TO ALL COUNSEL (OR PARTIES) AT THEIR RESPECTIVE MOST RECENT ADDRESS OF MAR 2 7 2013 **RECORD IN THIS ACTION ON THIS DATE.** 4 12 7. DATED 5 CALIFORNIA TR/ CEN BY DEPUTY CL 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 **KERRY DAWSON aka LARRY** Case No. EDCV 12-1306-GHK (RNB) LEE JACKS, 12 Plaintiff, ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS AND 13 **RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED** VS. STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 14 DEPUTY WATANATHIA, et al.. 15 Defendants. 16

17 On February 26, 2013, the assigned Magistrate Judge issued a Report and 18 Recommendation herein, recommending the dismissal of this prose civil rights action 19 without prejudice for failure to prosecute after plaintiff failed to respond to the 20 Magistrate Judge's order to either return the service package to the United States 21 Marshal or show cause in writing why this case should not be dismissed for failure 22 to prosecute. Although a copy of the Report and Recommendation was mailed to 23 plaintiff at his address of record on February 27, 2013, the copy was then returned 24 undelivered with the box for "Out of Custody" checked off and the stamped notation, 25 "Return to Sender/Not Deliverable as Addressed/Unable to Forward."

Under Central District of California Local Rule 41-5, it was incumbent on
plaintiff to keep the Court apprised of his current address. The rule further provides
that, "[i]f mail directed by the Clerk to a *pro se* plaintiff's address of record is

returned undelivered by the Postal Service, and if, within fifteen (15) days of the
 service date, such plaintiff fails to notify, in writing, the Court and opposing parties
 of said plaintiff's current address, the Court may dismiss the action with or without
 prejudice for want of prosecution."

Here, more than fifteen (15) days have elapsed since the service of the Report
and Recommendation, and no notice of change of address has been filed by plaintiff.
Moreover, based on its review pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 of all the records and files
herein, including the Report and Recommendation, the Court concurs with and
accepts the Magistrate Judge's findings and recommendation.

IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that Judgment be entered dismissing this action without prejudice.

3/22/13 DATED: \_

STATES DI\$TRICT JUDGE