

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LORI R. HIGGINS,)	CASE NO. ED CV 12-02016 RZ
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	MEMORANDUM OPINION
vs.)	AND ORDER
)	
CAROLYN W. COLVIN,)	
Acting Commissioner of Social Security,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

After remand from this Court, the Administrative Law Judge found that Plaintiff Lori Rochelle Higgins had severe impairments consisting of hearing loss, a schizoaffective disorder, and polysubstance dependence, in remission. [AR 283] However, he found that she retained the residual capacity to perform light work, with certain limitations. [AR 286] She could not perform any of her past relevant work [AR 292] but she could perform other work which exists in the economy. [AR 293] Accordingly, she was not disabled. [AR 294]

In this Court, Plaintiff appears to challenge the Administrative Law Judge’s determinations that she was not entirely credible (Plaintiff’s Memorandum at 5:19), but Plaintiff does not identify any improper determinations. Plaintiff also says that the Administrative Law Judge improperly rejected Plaintiff’s statements regarding her subjective symptoms (Plaintiff’s Memorandum at 6:4), but does not identify any such

1 symptoms or how they were improperly rejected. Plaintiff cites familiar law to the effect
2 that a person does not need to be completely incapacitated in order to qualify for disability
3 benefits (Plaintiff's Memorandum at 6-8), but does not explain how the Administrative
4 Law Judge's assessment of her activities fits within this body of law. The Administrative
5 Law Judge simply found that Plaintiff's activities were not inconsistent with her residual
6 functional capacity. [AR 287]

7 This Court is limited to a determination as to whether the Commissioner
8 committed errors of law and whether substantial evidence supported her decision. *Drouin*
9 *v. Sullivan*, 966 F.2d 1255, 1257 (9th Cir. 1992). Plaintiff has identified no errors of law,
10 and the Court has seen none, and substantial evidence backs the decision. Accordingly, the
11 Commissioner's decision is affirmed.

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13
14 DATED: August 21, 2013

15
16 
17 _____
RALPH ZAREFSKY
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE