


1  
2 I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS DOCUMENT WAS SERVED BY  
3 FIRST CLASS MAIL POSTAGE PREPAID, TO ALL COUNSEL *Petitioner*  
(OR PARTIES) AT THEIR RESPECTIVE MOST RECENT ADDRESS OF  
RECORD IN THIS ACTION ON THIS DATE.

4 DATED: 1.31.12  
5 \_\_\_\_\_  
DEPUTY CLERK



6  
7  
8  
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
10 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 CARLOS LUNA, ) Case No. SACV 11-1043-RGK (JPR)  
12 )  
13 Petitioner, ) ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS  
14 vs. ) AND RECOMMENDATIONS  
15 ) OF U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
16 )  
17 )  
18 )  
19 )  
20 )  
21 )  
22 )  
23 )  
24 )  
25 )  
26 )  
27 )  
28 )  
KELLY HARRINGTON, Warden, )  
Respondent. )

17 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the  
18 Petition, records on file, and Report and Recommendation of the U.S.  
19 Magistrate Judge. On December 5, 2011, Petitioner filed objections  
20 to the Report and Recommendation, which generally repeat the  
21 arguments raised in the Petition. The Court has made a de novo  
22 determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to  
23 which objections have been made.

24 IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that (1) the Petition is denied without  
25 leave to amend and (2) Judgment be entered dismissing this action  
26 with prejudice.

27 DATED: January 31, 2012

*Gary Klausner*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
R. GARY KLAUSNER  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE