1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	DEWAYNE HOLMES,	1:01-cv-06359-AWI-GSA-PC
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
12	VS.	(Doc. 91)
13	C. PLATH,	ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT PLATH'S MOTION TO SET ASIDE DEFAULT
14		JUDGMENT AND STAY ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT
15	Defendant.	(Doc. 85.)
16	/	
17	Dewayne Holmes ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding with counsel in this civil rights	
18	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge	
19	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On January 24, 2011, findings and recommendations were entered, recommending that	
21	Defendant Plath's motion to set aside the default judgment and stay enforcement of the judgment be	
22	denied. (Doc. 91.) On February 25, 2011, Defendant Plath filed objections to the findings and	
23	recommendations. (Doc. 92.)	
24	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 304, this	
25	Court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file,	
26	including Defendant Plath's objections, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be	
27	supported by the record and proper analysis.	
28		1

1	In his phisotions, Defendent Plath arrives that the defendt in the set of the set of 1		
1	In his objections, Defendant Plath argues that the default judgment should be set aside		
2	because he cannot recall signing the waiver of service of summons or receiving a copy of Plaintiff's		
3	complaint at the time of service of process in this action. Although Defendant Plath acknowledges		
4	that the signature on the waiver of service resembles his signature, he states that he would never have		
5	knowingly signed away his opportunity to defend Plaintiff's accusations against him. Defendant		
6	Plath claims that he was not aware of this action against him until August 2009 when Plaintiff's		
7	attorney began collection proceedings.		
8	Defendant Plath has not met his burden of proving that he is entitled to relief. As explained		
9	by the Magistrate Judge, "[a] signed return of service constitutes prima facie evidence of valid		
10	service which can be overcome only by strong and convincing evidence." <u>Securities and Exchange</u>		
11	Commission v. Internet Solutions for Business, Inc., 509 F.3d 1161, 1166 (9th Cir. 2007).		
12	Defendant Plath cannot overcome this presumption of service by merely denying that he did not		
13	receive the complaint. In light of the evidence that Plaintiff signed the waiver of service, Plaintiff's		
14	assertion that he does not recall receiving a copy of the complaint is not strong and convincing		
15	evidence that he was not properly served.		
16	Accordingly, THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that:		
17	1. The Findings and Recommendations issued by the Magistrate Judge on January 24,		
18	2011, are adopted in full; and		
19	2. Defendant Plath's motion to set aside the default judgment and stay enforcement of		
20	the judgment is DENIED.		
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
22	Dated: March 9 2011		
23	Dated: <u>March 9, 2011</u> CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	2		