1 2 3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8 9			
9 10	UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT	
11	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12			
13	WAYNE E. WADKINS,) 1:07-CV-01749 AWI GSA HC)	
14	Petitioner,	 ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION [Doc. #6] 	
15	V.) ORDER DISMISSING PETITION FOR WRIT	
16	MICHAEL EVANS, Warden, et al.,	 OF HABEAS CORPUS AND DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT TO ENTER JUDGMENT 	
17 18	Respondents.)) ORDER DECLINING ISSUANCE OF) CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY	
19	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceedir	ng pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus	
20	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.		
21			
22	recommended the petition for writ of habeas corpus be DISMISSED for failure to exhaust state		
23			
24	any objections to the Findings and Recommendation were to be filed within thirty (30) days of the		
25 26	date of service of the order. Over thirty (30) days have passed and no party has filed objections. In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a <i>de</i>		
26 27			
27 28	novo review of the case. Having carefully rev	viewed the entire file, the Court finds that the Findings	
20			

1	and Recommendation is supported by the record and proper analysis.	
2	A state prisoner seeking a writ of habeas corpus has no absolute entitlement to appeal a	
3	district court's denial of his petition, and an appeal is only allowed in certain circumstances. Miller-	
4	El v. Cockrell, 123 S.Ct. 1029, 1039 (2003). The controlling statute in determining whether to issue	
5	a certificate of appealability is 28 U.S.C. § 2253, which provides as follows:	
6 7	(a) In a habeas corpus proceeding or a proceeding under section 2255 before a district judge, the final order shall be subject to review, on appeal, by the court of appeals for the circuit in which the proceeding is held.	
8 9 10	(b) There shall be no right of appeal from a final order in a proceeding to test the validity of a warrant to remove to another district or place for commitment or trial a person charged with a criminal offense against the United States, or to test the validity of such person's detention pending removal proceedings.	
11	(c) (1) Unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability, an appeal may not be taken to the court of appeals from-	
12	(A) the final order in a habeas corpus proceeding in which the	
13	detention complained of arises out of process issued by a State court; or	
14	(B) the final order in a proceeding under section 2255.	
15	(2) A certificate of appealability may issue under paragraph (1) only if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.	
16 17	(3) The certificate of appealability under paragraph (1) shall indicate which specific issue or issues satisfy the showing required by paragraph (2).	
18	If a court denies a petitioner's petition, the court may only issue a certificate of appealability	
19	"if jurists of reason could disagree with the district court's resolution of his constitutional claims or	
20	that jurists could conclude the issues presented are adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed	
21	further." Miller-El, 123 S.Ct. at 1034; Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000). While the	
22	petitioner is not required to prove the merits of his case, he must demonstrate "something more than	
23	the absence of frivolity or the existence of mere good faith on his part." Miller-El, 123 S.Ct. at	
24	1040.	
25	In the present case, the Court finds that reasonable jurists would not find the Court's	
26	determination that Petitioner is not entitled to federal habeas corpus relief debatable, wrong, or	
27	deserving of encouragement to proceed further. Petitioner has not made the required substantial	
28	showing of the denial of a constitutional right. Accordingly, the Court hereby DECLINES to issue a	

I

1	certificate of appealability.
2	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
3	1. The Findings and Recommendation issued December 18, 2007, is ADOPTED IN FULL;
4	2. The petition for writ of habeas corpus is DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE;
5	3. The Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to enter judgment; and
6	4. The Court DECLINES to issue a certificate of appealability.
7	
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.
9	Dated:February 2, 2008/s/ Anthony W. IshiiUNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
U.S. District Court	
E. D. California	cd 3