

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

VALENTINE E. UNDERWOOD,

1:08-cv-00986-AWI-GSA-PC

Plaintiff,

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS
AND RECOMMENDATIONS
(Doc. 76.)

vs.

M. KNOWLES, et al.,

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
MOTIONS FOR PRELIMINARY
INJUNCTION
(Docs. 48, 52.)

Defendants.

_____ /

Valentine E. Underwood (“plaintiff”) is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On December 17, 2010, [findings and recommendations](#) were entered, recommending that plaintiff’s motions for preliminary injunction, filed on April 21, 2010 and July 6, 2010, be denied for lack of jurisdiction. On January 14, 2011, Plaintiff filed [objections](#) to the findings and recommendations.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, including Plaintiff’s objections, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Accordingly, THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that:

1. The Findings and Recommendations issued by the Magistrate Judge on December 17, 2010, are ADOPTED in full; and

2. Plaintiff's motions for preliminary injunction, filed on April 21, 2010 and July 6, 2010, are DENIED for lack of jurisdiction.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 9, 2011


CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE