IESSE EDWARD BEIADAN

///

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CASE NO 1:00 ov 00478 AWI SKO PC

JESSE EDWARD BEJARAN,	CASE NO. 1.09-CV-004/8-AWI-SKO FC
Plaintiff,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS RECOMMENDING THAT PLAINTIFF'S
V.	MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING
DEDDAL ADAMO 4 1	ORDER BE DENIED
DERRAL ADAMS, et al., Defendants.	(Doc. 20.)
Defendants.	OBJECTIONS DUE WITHIN 30 DAYS

Plaintiff Jesse Edward Bejaran ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On December 21, 2009, Plaintiff filed a motion requesting a temporary restraining order alleging prison officials are tampering with his mail. (Doc. #20.)

The purpose of a temporary restraining order or a preliminary injunction is to preserve the status quo if the balance of equities so heavily favors the moving party that justice requires the court to intervene to secure the positions until the merits of the action are ultimately determined. University of Texas v. Camenisch, 451 U.S. 390, 395 (1981). "A plaintiff seeking a preliminary injunction must establish that he is likely to succeed on the merits, that he is likely to suffer irreparable harm in the absence of preliminary relief, that the balance of equities tips in his favor, and that an injunction is in the public interest." Winter v. Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc., 129 S. Ct. 365, 374 (2008).

1 | gr 3 | <u>A</u> 4 | pr 5 | er

"[A] preliminary injunction is an extraordinary and drastic remedy, one that should not be granted unless the movant, <u>by a clear showing</u>, carries the burden of persuasion." <u>Mazurek v. Armstrong</u>, 520 U.S. 968, 972 (1997) (quotations and citations omitted) (emphasis in original). A party seeking a preliminary injunction simply cannot prevail when that motion is unsupported by evidence. With respect to motions for preliminary injunctive relief or a temporary restraining order, the Prison Litigation Reform Act ("PLRA") provides that:

[i]n any civil action with respect to prison conditions, to the extent otherwise authorized by law, the court may enter a temporary restraining order or an order for preliminary injunctive relief. Preliminary injunctive relief must be narrowly drawn, extend no further than necessary to correct the harm the court finds requires preliminary relief, and be the least intrusive means necessary to correct that harm.

18 U.S.C. § 3626(a)(2).

Plaintiff has not shown that he is entitled to the extraordinary remedy of a temporary restraining order. The only evidence included with Plaintiff's motion is a three-page declaration from Plaintiff stating that he will suffer irreparable injury because Defendants have been tampering with Plaintiff's legal mail. Plaintiff complains that Defendants are opening mail from the Court addressed to Plaintiff outside his presence. Mail from the Court is not privileged or confidential or otherwise afforded protection beyond that which is provided for ordinary mail. See Keenan v. Hall, 83 F.3d 1083, 1094 (9th Cir. 1996). Mail from the Court generally consists of information that can easily be accessed by any member of the public. Plaintiff has cited no authority that supports the proposition that he suffers a constitutional injury when mail from the Court is opened outside his presence. Plaintiff also complains that prison officials are not sending his outgoing mail addressed to the Court. Notably, Plaintiff has not missed any deadlines in this case and has not suffered any prejudice in this case due to late filings.

Plaintiff also complains that prison officials will conduct harassing cell searches to confiscate Plaintiff's legal materials and interfere with his legal research. Plaintiff's allegations are speculative. Plaintiff has failed to establish that the threat of irreparable injury is imminent. Plaintiff is not entitled to the extraordinary remedy of a temporary restraining order based only on his conclusory allegation that prison officials may confiscate his legal materials in the future.

Further, the Court notes that Plaintiff has made no effort to demonstrate that he is likely to prevail on the merits of this action. Accordingly, the Court HEREBY RECOMMENDS that Plaintiff's motion for a temporary restraining order be DENIED.

These Findings and Recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within thirty (30) days after being served with these Findings and Recommendations, any party may file written objections with the Court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any reply to the objections shall be served and filed within ten (10) days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 30, 2010 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto **UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**