Sophia Lopez v. Jo	ohnson et al	Doc
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED ST	ATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	SOPHIA LOPEZ,	) Case No. 1:09-cv-02174-LJO-JLT
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
13	VS.	) RECOMMENDATIONS IN FULL ) DISMISSING DEFENDANT JOHNSON
14	MARTHA JOHNSON and RENEE	) WITH PREJUDICE )
15	RICHARDSON,	) (Docs. 1, 5)
16	Defendants.	)
17		
18	Plaintiff is a self-represented litigant who sought to proceed in forma pauperis with a civil	
19	rights action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to the Magistrate Judge	
20	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rules 302 and 304.	
21	On June 15, 2010, Plaintiff filed an amended complaint. (Doc. 18) The amended complaint	
22	continued to assert liability against defendant Johnson despite that the only actions alleged to have	
23	been taken by her occurred while she was acting in her role as the hearing officer for the Kern	
24	County Housing Authority.	
25	On June 21, 2010, the Magistrate Judge ordered defendant Johnson dismissed but granted	
26	leave to amend the complaint if Plaintiff could allege actions taken by Johnson that were outside of	
27	her role as the hearing officer. (Doc. 19) However, because the Court found that the balance of the	
28	amended complaint stated causes of action against other defendants, the Order advised Plaintiff that	
		1

22

her failure to amend the complaint would result in the Magistrate Judge issuing a Findings and Recommendation dismissing Johnson without leave to amend. The Order advised further that Plaintiff would be permitted to proceed in the matter against the remaining defendants. Johnson was given 20 days leave, or until July 12, 2010, to file her second amended complaint. Plaintiff failed to file a second amended complaint.

On July 19, 2010, the Magistrate Judge issued an Amended Findings and Recommendation that Johnson be dismissed from the litigation without leave to amend based upon the protections of absolute immunity. Plaintiff was granted 14 days from July 19, 2010, or until August 2, 2010, to file objections to the recommendation. Plaintiff has not filed objections.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C) and <u>Britt v. Simi Valley</u> <u>United School Dist.</u>, 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1983), this Court has conducted a *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds that the findings and recommendation are supported by the record and by proper analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The findings/report and recommendations filed July 19, 2010, are ADOPTED IN FULL;
  - 2. Defendant Martha Johnson IS DISMISSED from the action without leave to amend.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 4, 2010 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE