not explain the source of the income that he will use to pay for the \$140,000 home that he stated

28

Doc. 10

was currently under construction.

On April 14, 2010, the Court detailed these deficiencies in his motion to proceed in forma pauperis and ordered Plaintiff to submit a new complete, signed application or alternatively, pay the \$350 filing fee. (Doc. 8). The Court granted Plaintiff 30 days to comply. (Id.) The Court expressly warned Plaintiff that his failure to timely comply with the order would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed.

Plaintiff was required to file his amended motion by May 17, 2010. Nevertheless, Plaintiff has failed to respond to the order.

RECOMMENDATION

Based on the foregoing, the Court recommends,

1. That the complaint (Doc. 1) be DISMISSED, with prejudice, for failure to comply with the Court's order to file a new motion to proceed in forma pauperis or, alternatively, to pay the filing fee.

These Findings and Recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to this case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Rule 304 of the Local Rules of Practice for the United States District Court, Eastern District of California. Within 14 days after being served with a copy, Plaintiffs may file written objections with the Court. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." The District Judge will then review the Magistrate Judge's ruling pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Judge's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **May 20, 2010**

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE