(PC) East v. I	Kabonic et al I	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7	Enorgia Pioridor of Chigh Old Vir	
8	EBONE LEROY EAST,	CASE NO. 1:10-CV-01053-AWI-DLB PC
9	Plaintiff,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION RECOMMENDING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
10	V.	FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION BE DENIED AS MOOT
11	G. KABONIC, et al.,	(DOC. 8)
12	Defendants.	OBJECTIONS DUE WITHIN 14 DAYS
13		OBJECTION OF COMMITTEE OF COMMI
14		
15	Plaintiff Ebone LeRoy East ("Plaintiff") is a prisoner in the custody of the California	
16	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation ("CDCR"). Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in	
17	forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Pending before the Court	
18	is Plaintiff's motion for temporary restraining order or preliminary injunction, filed August 25,	
19	2010. The Court treats the motion as one for preliminary injunction.	
20	"A plaintiff seeking a preliminary injunction must establish that he is likely to succeed on	
21	the merits, that he is likely to suffer irreparable harm in the absence of preliminary relief, that the	
22	balance of equities tips in his favor, and that an injunction is in the public interest." Winter v.	
23	Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc., 129 S. Ct. 365, 374 (2008) (citations omitted). The	
24	purpose of preliminary injunctive relief is to preserve the status quo or to prevent irreparable	
25	injury pending the resolution of the underlying claim. Sierra On-line, Inc. v. Phoenix Software,	
26	Inc., 739 F.2d 1415, 1422 (9th Cir. 1984).	
27	Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief for actions taken by prison officials at California	
28	Correctional Institution ("CCI"). However, Plaintiff is no longer incarcerated at CCI pursuant to	
		1
		Deale

Doc. 10

a notice of change of address filed on December 30, 2010. Doc. 9. When an inmate seeks 2 injunctive or declaratory relief concerning the prison where he is incarcerated, his claims for such relief become moot when he is no longer subjected to those conditions. See Weinstein v. 3 Bradford, 423 U.S. 147, 149 (1975); Dilley v. Gunn, 64 F.3d 1365, 1368-69 (9th Cir. 1995). 5 Plaintiff's motion is thus moot. 6 Accordingly, it is HEREBY RECOMMENDED that Plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunctive relief, filed August 25, 2010, should be DENIED. 8 These Findings and Recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen 10 (14) days after being served with these Findings and Recommendations, the parties may file 11 written objections with the Court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate" Judge's Findings and Recommendations." The parties are advised that failure to file objections 12 13 within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Martinez v.* Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153, 1156-57 (9th Cir. 1991). 14 15 16 IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Dennis L. Beck 17 **Dated:** March 7, 2011 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28