

1
2
3
4
5
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8
9 JANETTA SCONIERS,

CASE NO. 1:10-cv-01130-AWI-SMS

10 Plaintiff,

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS RECOMMENDING
DENIAL OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR A
NEW TRIAL, AMENDMENT OF JUDGMENT,
OR RECONSIDERATION

11 v.

12 M. BRUCE SMITH, et al.,

13 Defendants.

(Docs. 9 &10)

14
15 On August 23, 2010, the Court dismissed with prejudice Plaintiff's third complaint
16 seeking to involve the federal courts in California's administration of her mother's estate. The
17 Court determined that it lacked jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims. Thereafter, Plaintiff timely
18 moved for amendment of judgment or a new trial pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 59(a) or 59(e), and for
19 reconsideration pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 60(b)-(d).

20 Concluding that the motion lacked merit, the Magistrate Judge recommended that
21 Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration or amendment of judgment be denied. The Findings and
22 Recommendations were served on the Plaintiff and contained notice that any objections to the
23 Findings and Recommendations were to be filed on or before February 10, 2011. Plaintiff has
24 not filed objections.

25 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C), this Court has reviewed
26 Plaintiff's motion *de novo*. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds Plaintiff's
27 objections to lack merit and the Findings and Recommendations to be supported by the record
28 and proper legal analysis.

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Findings and Recommendations, filed
2 January 27, 2011, are adopted in full. Plaintiff's motion for amendment of judgment, new trial,
3 more reconsideration are DENIED.

4
5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 Dated: February 14, 2011


7 _____
8 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28