

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MATTHEW PATRICK SCHORR,

Plaintiff,

v.

MARGARET MIMS,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 1:11-cv-00886-LJO-GBC (PC)

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
RECOMMENDING DISMISSING ACTION
WITH PREJUDICE FOR FAILURE TO STATE
A CLAIM

(Doc. 1)

OBJECTIONS DUE IN FOURTEEN DAYS

I. Procedural History

Matthew Patrick Schorr (“Plaintiff”) is a federal prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On June 1, 2011, Plaintiff filed the complaint in this action. Doc. 1. On September 24, 2012, the Court dismissed the action for failure to state a claim and gave Plaintiff leave to amend. Doc. 16. On November 2, 2012, the Court granted Plaintiff’s motion for extension of time to file an amended complaint and gave Plaintiff twenty-one days to file an amended complaint. Doc. 18.

On September 24, 2012, the Court warned Plaintiff that if he failed to file an amended complaint in compliance with the order, this action would be dismissed, with prejudice, for failure to state any claims. The deadline to file an amended complaint has passed and Plaintiff has not complied with or otherwise responded to the Court’s order. As a result, there is no pleading on file which sets forth any claims upon which relief may be granted.

1 Accordingly, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A and 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e), the Court HEREBY
2 RECOMMENDS that:

- 3 1. this action be DISMISSED, with prejudice, based on Plaintiff's failure to state any
4 claims upon which relief may be granted (Doc. 1; Doc. 16); and
- 5 2. The Clerk's Office be directed to enter judgment against Plaintiff.

6
7 These Findings and Recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge
8 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen (14)
9 days after being served with these Findings and Recommendations, Plaintiff may file written
10 objections with the Court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
11 Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the
12 specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d
13 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

14
15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 Dated: November 29, 2012

17 
18 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28