

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARCUS LEON LINTHECOME,

Plaintiff,

v.

MAURICE JUNIOUS, et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 1:11-cv-00923-AWI-BAM PC

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
RECOMMENDING THIS ACTION BE
DISMISSED, WITH PREJUDICE, FOR
FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM

OBJECTIONS DUE WITHIN TWENTY-DAYS

Plaintiff Marcus Leon Linthecome is a former state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On April 26, 2012, Plaintiff’s complaint was dismissed for failure to state a claim, with leave to amend. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A; 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e). On June 5, 2012, Plaintiff filed a first amended complaint. An order issued on June 8, 2012, striking the first amended complaint for failing to comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, with leave to file an amended complaint within thirty days. Plaintiff was warned that if he failed to file an amended complaint in compliance with the order, this action would be dismissed, with prejudice, for failure to state any claims. More than thirty days have passed and Plaintiff has not complied with or otherwise responded to the Court’s order. As a result, there is no pleading on file which sets forth any claims upon which relief may be granted.

Accordingly, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A and 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e), it is HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action BE DISMISSED, with prejudice, based on Plaintiff’s failure to state any claims upon which relief may be granted.

These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge

1 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty (20)
2 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, Plaintiff may file written
3 objections with the Court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s
4 Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the
5 specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d
6 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

7
8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 **Dated: July 24, 2012**

/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28