| 1 | | |
|----|---|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 7 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | CHEVRON ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT COMPANY | |
| 10 | AND CHEVRON USA, | CASE NO. 1:11-cv-1396 LJO-BAM |
| 11 | Plaintiffs, | ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS ON MOTIONS |
| 12 | N/O | FOR GOOD FAITH SETTLEMENT |
| 13 | VS. | $(D_{pag}, 115, 116, 117, 118, 110)$ |
| 14 | DVV CODDODATION A 1 | (Docs. 115, 116, 117, 118, 119) |
| 15 | BKK CORPORATION, et. al, | |
| 16 | / Defendants. | |
| 17 | On September 17, 2012, Plaintiffs Chevron Environmental Management Company and Chevron | |
| 18 | USA ("Plaintiffs") filed several Motions for Good Settlement Determination (Docs. 106, 107, 108, 109, | |
| 19 | 110) requesting approval of individual settlements and barring future claims against defendants: | |
| 20 | Petrominerals Corporation (Doc. 106), Mosaic Global Holdings, Inc. (Doc. 107), Ranchers Cotton Oil | |
| 21 | (Doc. 108), BKK Corporation (Doc. 109), and Crosby & Overton (Doc. 110). | |
| 22 | On October 26, 2012, the Magistrate Judge | issued Findings and Recommendations that the |
| 23 | Motions for Good Settlement Determination be GR. | ANTED. (Docs. 115, 116, 117, 118, 119). The |
| 24 | Findings and Recommendations were served on all parties and contained notice that any objections were | |
| 25 | to be filed within fifteen (15) days of the date of service. More than fifteen (15) days have passed, and | |
| 26 | no objections have been filed. In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(c), this Court | |
| 27 | has conducted a de novo review of the case and reviewed Plaintiff's objections. Having carefully | |
| 28 | reviewed the entire file, the Court finds that the Find | lings and Recommendations are supported by the |

| 1 | record and proper analysis. | | |
|----|-----------------------------|--|--|
| 2 | | Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: | |
| 3 | 1. | The Findings and Recommendations issued October 26, 2012 (Docs. 115, 116, 117, 118, | |
| 4 | | 119), are ADOPTED IN FULL; and | |
| 5 | 2. | Plaintiffs' Motions for Good Faith Settlement Determination (Docs. 106, 107, 108, 109, | |
| 6 | | 110) are GRANTED; | |
| 7 | 3. | Any and all claims for equitable comparative contribution, and partial and complete | |
| 8 | | comparative indemnity, based on comparative negligence or comparative fault, against | |
| 9 | | Petrominerals Corporation, Mosaic Global Holdings, Inc., Ranchers Cotton Oil, BKK | |
| 10 | | Corporation, and Crosby & Overton are forever barred pursuant to California Code of | |
| 11 | | Civil Procedure §877.6 (c); | |
| 12 | 4. | Petrominerals Corporation, Mosaic Global Holdings, Inc., Ranchers Cotton Oil, BKK | |
| 13 | | Corporation, and Crosby & Overton be DISMISSED with prejudice; | |
| 14 | 5. | The Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to terminate docket numbers 106, 107, 108, 109 | |
| 15 | | and 110. | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | 7 IT IS SO ORDERED. | | |
| 18 | 3 | | |
| 19 | | Sovember 15, 2012 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | | 2 | |