

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NORBERTO CISNEROS,  
Plaintiff,  
vs.  
OLMOS, et al.,  
Defendants.

1:11-cv-01639-LJO-BAM (PC)  
ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS AND DENYING  
PLAINTIFF’S MOTION FOR A COURT  
ORDER  
(ECF Nos. 13, 15)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Plaintiff Norberto Cisneros is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On June 15, 2012, the Magistrate Judge filed a [Findings and Recommendations](#) herein which was served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objection to the Findings and Recommendations was to be filed within twenty days. Plaintiff did not file a timely objection to the Findings and Recommendations.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the Findings and Recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The Findings and Recommendations, filed June 15, 2012, is adopted in full; and

1           2.       Plaintiff's Motion for a Court Order, filed June 18, 2012, is DENIED.

2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

**Dated: July 17, 2012**

**/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**