1		
2		
3		
4 5		
5 6		
0 7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10		
11	JESSIE DEE PRITCHETT,) 1:12-cv-01333-LJO-SKO-HC
12	Petitioner,) ORDER RE: FINDINGS AND) RECOMMENDATIONS (DOC. 7)
13	V.)) ORDER DISMISSING PETITIONER'S
14	AUDREY KING, Executive) SECOND, THIRD, AND FOURTH CLAIMS) WITHOUT LEAVE TO AMEND (DOC. 1)
15	Director, Respondent.)) ORDER REFERRING THE PROCEEDING) BACK TO THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE TO
16	Kespondent.) DIRECT THE FILING OF A RESPONSE) TO THE REMAINING CLAIM
17		, ,
18	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in	
19	forma pauperis with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant	
20	to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to the Magistrate	
21	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Rules 302 and	
22	304.	
23	On October 17, 2012, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and	
24	recommendations to dismiss Petitioner's second, third, and fourth	
25	claims without leave to amend because they were state law claims	
26	and to refer the proceeding back to the Magistrate Judge to	
27	direct the filing of a response to the remaining claim in the	

28 petition. The findings and recommendations were served by mail

1

on Petitioner on the same date. The findings and recommendations
 informed Petitioner that objections were due within thirty days
 of service.

Although the deadline for filing objections has passed, noobjections have been filed.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636
(b) (1) (C), this Court has conducted a *de novo* review of the case.
The undersigned has carefully reviewed the entire file. The
Court finds that the report and recommendations are supported by
the record and proper analysis.

11

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that:

1) The findings and recommendations filed on October 17,
 2012, are ADOPTED in full; and

14 2) The first, second, and third claims in the petition are 15 DISMISSED without leave to amend; and

16 3) The matter is REFERRED back to the Magistrate Judge to 17 direct the filing of a response to the remaining claim in the 18 petition.

19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

 20
 Dated: ______
 December 4, 2012 _____

/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2