1			
2			
3			
4			
- 5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10			
11	CHRISTOPHER HINES,	1:14-cv-00563-AWI-EPG-PC	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
13	vs.	(ECF No. 14.)	
14	C. GIPSON, et al.,	ORDER DISMISSING ACTION, WITH	
15	Defendants.	PREJUDICE, FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM UPON WHICH RELIEF MAY	
16		BE GRANTED UNDER SECTION 1983	
17		ORDER THAT DISMISSAL IS SUBJECT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g)	
18		ORDER FOR CLERK TO CLOSE CASE	
19			
20			
21	Christopher Hines ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding <i>pro se</i> in this civil rights	

Christopher Hines ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On February 11, 2016, <u>findings and recommendations</u> were entered, recommending that this action be dismissed based on Plaintiff's failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted under §1983. (ECF No. 14.) Plaintiff was granted thirty days to file objections to the findings and recommendations. The thirty-day time period has expired, and Plaintiff has not filed objections or otherwise responded to the Court's order.

1	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 304, this	
2	Court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file,	
3	the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper	
4	analysis.	
5	Accordingly, THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that:	
6	1. The Findings and Recommendations issued by the Magistrate Judge on February	
7	11, 2016, are adopted in full;	
8	2. This action is dismissed, with prejudice, based on Plaintiff's failure to state a	
9	claim upon which relief may be granted under § 1983;	
10	3. This dismissal is subject to the "three-strikes" provision set forth in 28 U.S.C. §	
11	1915(g). Silva v. Vittorio, 658 F.3d 1090, 1098 (9th Cir. 2011); and	
12	4. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.	
13		
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
15	Dated: <u>April 5, 2016</u> SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	