1 2 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 1:14-cv-01214 LJO MJS HC JAMES E. WHITE, 11 ADOPTING **FINDINGS** Petitioner. 12 TO PETITION HABEAS CORPUS ٧. 13 [Doc. 14] 14 **CONNIE GIPSON, Warden,** 15 Respondent. 16 17 18 Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas 19 corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. 20 On August 4, 2014, Petitioner filed the instant petition along with a motion to stay 21 the proceedings. (Mot. to Stay, ECF No. 2.) Petitioner requested the Court stay his 22 petition while he attempted to exhaust his state court claims. The Court granted the stay 23 on August 26, 2014. (ECF No. 7.) On September 8, 2015, the Court ordered Petitioner to 24 show cause why the stay should not be vacated in light of Petitioner's exhaustion of his 25 claims in state court. 26 On November 3, 2015, the Magistrate Judge issued findings and a 27 recommendation that the stay be lifted. (ECF No. 14.) Petitioner did not file objections to 28 the findings and recommendation.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court concludes that the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation is supported by the record and proper analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: The Findings and Recommendation issued November 3, 2014, is 1. ADOPTED IN FULL; 2. The stay of the petition is ordered vacated; and 3. The matter is referred to the Magistrate Judge who shall issue further orders in light of this decision. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **January 16, 2016** /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE