

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

LACEDRIC W. JOHNSON,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ISAAC GONZALEZ, *et al.*,

Defendants.

1:14-cv-01252-LJO-EPG-PC

AMENDED ORDER ADOPTING  
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS<sup>1</sup>

(ECF No. 16)

ORDER FOR THIS ACTION TO  
PROCEED ONLY AGAINST  
DEFENDANTS GONZALEZ AND  
MARTINEZ ON PLAINTIFF'S DENIAL  
OF ACCESS TO COURTS CLAIMS AND  
DISMISSING ALL OTHER CLAIMS AND  
DEFENDANTS

Plaintiff Lacedric W. Johnson is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* in this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This case now proceeds on the Second Amended Complaint filed by Plaintiff on April 22, 2016. (ECF No. 13.) The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On September 26, 2016, the Court entered Findings and Recommendations, recommending that this action proceed only against defendants Isaac Gonzalez and A. Martinez on Plaintiff's claims for denial of access to courts and that all other claims and defendants be

---

<sup>1</sup> This amended order is issued at Plaintiff's request to more precisely reflect the claims found cognizable in the Second Amended Complaint.

1 dismissed from this action based on Plaintiff's failure to state a claim. (ECF No. 16.) Plaintiff  
2 was provided an opportunity to file objections to the Findings and Recommendations within  
3 twenty days. Plaintiff did not file objections to the Findings and Recommendations.

4 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 304, this  
5 Court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file,  
6 the Court finds the Findings and Recommendations to be supported by the record and proper  
7 analysis.

8 Accordingly, THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that:

- 9 1. The Findings and Recommendations issued by the Magistrate Judge on  
10 September 26, 2016, are ADOPTED in full;
- 11 2. This action now proceeds on Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint, filed on  
12 April 22, 2016, against defendants Isaac Gonzalez and A. Martinez on Plaintiff's  
13 denial of access to court claim and related state claims;
- 14 3. All remaining claims and defendants are DISMISSED from this action;
- 15 4. Plaintiff's claim for procedural due process is DISMISSED from this action  
16 based on Plaintiff's failure to state a claim;
- 17 5. Defendant J. Benavides is DISMISSED from this action based on Plaintiff's  
18 failure to state any claims upon which relief may be granted against him; and,
- 19 6. The Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to reflect the dismissal of all defendants  
20 from this action on the Court's docket, except defendants Gonzalez and  
21 Martinez.

22  
23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 Dated: October 31, 2016

/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill  
25 UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE  
26  
27  
28