

1 The Court served Plaintiff the Findings and Recommendations and notified him that any
2 objections were due within 14 days. (Doc. 25 at 2.) The Court advised that the “[f]ailure to file
3 objections within the specified time may result in waiver of rights on appeal.” (*Id.*, citing
4 *Wilkerson v. Wheeler*, 772 F.3d 834, 839 (9th Cir. 2014).) To date, Plaintiff has not filed
5 objections.

6 According to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court performed a *de novo* review of this
7 case. Having carefully reviewed the entire matter, the Court concludes the Findings and
8 Recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis. Accordingly, the Court

9 **ORDERS:**

- 10 1. The Findings and Recommendations issued on November 13, 2023 (Doc. 25) are
11 **ADOPTED** in full.
- 12 2. This action **PROCEEDS** only on Plaintiff’s Fourteenth Amendment equal
13 protection claims against Defendants Martinez and Peterson.
- 14 3. The remaining claims in Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint are **DISMISSED**.
- 15 4. The Clerk of Court is directed to update the docket in this action to add N.
16 Peterson as a defendant.
- 17 5. The matter is referred to the magistrate judge for further proceedings.

18 IT IS SO ORDERED.

19
20 Dated: December 7, 2023


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE