1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	WILLIE LYONS,	No. 2:96-cv-0784 GEB GGH P
12	Petitioner,	
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	THEODORE WHTE, et al.,	
15	Respondents.	
16		
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas	
18	corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate	
19	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On February 2, 2016, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein	
21	which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to	
22	the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. Petitioner has filed	
23	objections to the findings and recommendations.	
24	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this	
25	court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the	
26	court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper	
27	analysis.	
28	/////	
		1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed February 2, 2016, are adopted in full;
3	2. Petitioner's motion for relief from judgment pursuant to Rule 60(b), ECF No. 91, is
4	DISMISSED to the extent that it is a successive petition, and DENIED to the extent that it is a
5	Rule 60(b) motion; and
6	3. The court declines to issue the certificate of appealability referenced in 28 U.S.C. §
7	2253.
8	Dated: March 3, 2016
9	
10	Sull E. Renell
11	GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR. Senior United States District Judge
12	Senior onited states District Sudge
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	