1	
2	
3	
4	
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	
8	RAYMOND EDWARD STEELE,)) 2:03-cv-00143-GEB-CKD
9	Petitioner,)) U ORDER*
10	v.) <u>ORDER</u> *)
11	JOHN STOKES, Acting Warden of) California State Prison at San) Quentin,)
12	Respondent.
13)
14	Despendent filed a metion to recordidar "this Court's Nevember
15	Respondent filed a motion to reconsider "this Court's November
16	10, 201[0] order (Doc. 164) adopting the findings and recommendations of
17	the Magistrate Judge regarding the adequacy of California's procedural
18	defaults imposed against petitioner in this case." (Mot. 1:8-10.) In his
19	opposition brief, Petitioner states: there "seems to be appropriate
20	grounds for referring the matter back to the Magistrate Judge for
21	supplemental briefing in light of the new Supreme Court case[, <u>Walker v</u> .
22	<u>Martin</u> , 562 U.S, 131 S.Ct. 1120 (2011)]. If the Court construes respondent's motion as a request to refer the matter back to the
23	Magistrate Judge, petitioner does not oppose the request." (Opp'n 2:12-
24	15.)
25 26	In light of Petitioner's statement and the recent Supreme
26 27	
27	

 $^{28 \| \ ^{*}}$ This matter is deemed suitable for decision without oral argument. E.D. Cal. R. 230(g).

Court decision, the portion of the November 10, 2010 order adopting the Magistrate Judge's findings and recommendations on "procedural default" is vacated. (ECF No. 164 2:3-4; ECF No. 151 5:16-34:20.) This case is referred back to the Magistrate Judge for further consideration and findings and recommendations on the "procedural default" issue, in light of <u>Walker</u>.

Dated: November 30, 2011

GARLAND BUR Ε.

United States District Judge