1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 LEO ARCHER,

Plaintiff, No. CIV S-06-0493 FCD DAD P

12 vs.

13 SOLANO COUNTY, et al.,

14 Defendants. <u>FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS</u>

A recent court order was served on plaintiff's address of record and returned by
the postal service. It appears that plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 182(f), which

requires that a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address change.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for plaintiff's failure to keep the court apprised of his current address. See Local Rules 182(f) and 110.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within twenty-

one days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written

objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's

Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the

1	specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
2	F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
3	DATED: May 24, 2010.
4	\mathcal{L}
5	Dale A. Droget
6	DALE A. DROZD UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	
8	DAD:kly
9	arch0493.33a
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	