L

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	MALIK JONES, No. CIV S-06-2732-FCD-CMK-P
12	Plaintiff,
13	vs. <u>ORDER</u>
14	C. STIEFERMAN, et al.,
15	Defendants.
16	/
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant
18	to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to
19	Eastern District of California local rules.
20	On November 5, 2009, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
21	herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice that the parties may file
22	objections within a specified time. Timely objections to the findings and recommendations have
23	been filed. ¹
24	///
25	
26	¹ Attorney Ellen Dove filed objections on behalf of Plaintiff, requesting the court's denial of the motion for protective order be without prejudice.

1

1	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-
2	304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the
3	entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and
4	by proper analysis.
5	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
6	1. The findings and recommendations filed November 5, 2009, are adopted
7	in full;
8	2. Plaintiff's motion for protective order (Doc 79) is denied without
9	prejudice; and
10	3. This matter is referred back to the magistrate judge for further
11	proceedings.
12	DATED: January 14, 2010.
13	/lun (mm
14	FRANK C. DAMRELL, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I