1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	MICHAEL M. COTTRELL,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-07-2574 GEB EFB P
12	VS.
13	R.K. WONG, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42
17	U.S.C. § 1983. On May 24, 2010, he filed a document entitled "Declaration for Entry of
18	Default." Plaintiff asks the court to enter a default judgment against defendant C. Huston.
19	According to plaintiff, Huston was served with the complaint on August 11, 2008, but failed to
20	respond within twenty days.
21	The court has reviewed the docket for the action, which reveals that, as of October 6,
22	2008, plaintiff communicated to the court that he was unsure of whether defendant Huston had
23	been served. Dckt. Nos. 37, 38. Nothing on the Court's docket indicates service on defendant
24	Huston prior to that time. On October 3, 2008, the other defendants (Wong, Cook, and Plainer)
25	moved for an extension of time to respond to the complaint. Dckt. No. 35. The court granted
26	defendants a thirty-day extension from October 8, 2008. Dckt. No. 39. All defendants,
	1

1

5 55, 57. The Court gave defendant Huston thirty days from April 21, 2010 to answer the complaint, which Huston did on May 21, 2010. Dckt. Nos. 57, 58. Accordingly, the Court finds 6 7 that defendant Huston has not "failed to plead or otherwise defend" the action and that entry of default judgment against her is not appropriate. Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a) (stating that default 8 9 judgment may be entered against a party who has "failed to plead or otherwise defend"). 10 Accordingly, it is HEREBY RECOMMENDED that plaintiff's May 24, 2010 11 "Declaration for Entry of Default," construed as a motion for default judgment, be denied. 12 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 13 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days 14 after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written 15 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned 16 "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections 17 within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). 18 19 DATED: June 2, 2010. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

1

2

3

4

EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

including Huston, then moved to dismiss the complaint on November 7, 2008. Dckt. No. 42. In

denying the motion in part as to defendant Huston, the court ordered her to answer the complaint

within thirty days from December 22, 2009. Dckt. No. 54. Huston timely sought clarification of

the Court's order, which the Court construed as a motion for an extension of time. Dckt. Nos.