1	
1	
2 3	
4	
- 5	
6	
5	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	TERRENCE BROWNLEE,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-08-0661 LKK GGH P
12	VS.
13	R. CLAYTON, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	By Order, filed on December 24, 2009, Judge Karlton dismissed plaintiff's case
17	without prejudice, granting plaintiff leave, within 30 days, to file a [second] amended complaint.
18	Plaintiff was specifically instructed to:
19	set forth in detail how defendants' actions or failures to act
20	deprived him of his constitutional rights. Specifically, he must articulate for each defendant (1) the specific actions or failures to act; and (2) how these specific actions or failures to act harmed
21	plaintiff. Plaintiff must explain all relevant incidents by reference to specific facts as to how each defendant harmed him.
22	to specific facts as to now each defendant narmed finit.
23	Order, filed on December 24, 2009, p. 2.
24	Construing plaintiff's allegations very liberally, the undersigned has deemed the
25	second amended complaint appropriate for service for some defendants in a separate order.
26	However, as to the following defendants, I. Cardeno, B. Jones, D. Jackson, M. Dangler, C.
	1

Hammond, Palmer, D. L. Porter, S.L. Chapman, Dunlap, Kramer, plaintiff once again simply
fails to set forth with any specificity how these individuals deprived him of a constitutional right.
It is not enough to group them together at various points, with an inadequate factual predicate,
and formulaically allege that they personally participated in a deprivation of his rights. Plaintiff
has been given more than ample time to amend. The court will recommend dismissal of these
defendants from this action.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that defendants I. Cardeno, B.
Jones, D. Jackson, M. Dangler, C. Hammond, Palmer, D. L. Porter, S.L. Chapman, B. Dunlap,
Kramer be dismissed from this action.

10These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District11Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within twenty-12one days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written13objections with the court. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate14Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections15within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v.16Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

/s/ Gregory G. Hollows 17 Dated: March 5, 2010 **GREGORY G. HOLLOWS** 18 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 19 GGH:009 20 brow0661.fr2 21 22 23 24 25 26