I

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	REGINALD CHAVIS, SR.,
11	Petitioner, No. CIV S-08-1431 JAM EFB P
12	VS.
13	JOHN W. HAVILAND,
14	Respondent. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this application for a writ
17	of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States
18	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.
19	On August 11, 2010, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
20	herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any
21	objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Petitioner
22	has filed objections to the findings and recommendations and respondent has filed a response
23	thereto.
24	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule
25	304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire
26	file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by
	1

1 proper analysis.

1	propor unarjoist
2	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
3	1. The findings and recommendations filed August 11, 2010, are adopted in full;
4	2. This action is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction;
5	3. Respondent's October 26, 2009 motion to dismiss is denied without prejudice;
6	4. The Clerk is directed to close the case; and
7	5. The court declines to issue a certificate of appealability.
8	DATED: February 14, 2011
9	
10	/s/ John A. Mendez UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	/ UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2