(HC) Scott v	v. Sisto II	
1		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	NOEL PHILLIPPE SCOTT,	
11	Petitioner,	No. CIV S-08-2370 GEB KJM P
12	VS.	
13	D.K. SISTO,	
14	Respondent.	<u>ORDER</u>
15		
16	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of	
17	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States	
18	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.	
19	On February 26, 2010, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations	
20	herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any	
21	objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Neither	
22	party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.	
23	The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be	
24	supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY	
25	ORDERED that:	
26	/////	
		1

Doc. 16

1	1. The findings and recommendations filed February 26, 2010, are adopted in
2	full;
3	2. Respondent's motion to dismiss (docket no. 13) is denied; and
4	3. Respondent file an answer to the petition within thirty days of the date of this
5	order.
6	Dated: March 26, 2010
7	1,150,011
8	GARLAND E. BUBRELL, JR.
9	United States District Judge
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	