

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

K. REGALDO,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-09-0942 FCD EFB P

vs.

MULE CREEK STATE PRISON  
MEDICAL OFFICE,

Defendant.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

\_\_\_\_\_ /  
Plaintiff is a prisoner, without counsel, suing for alleged civil rights violations. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On April 19, 2010, the court dismissed plaintiff’s complaint for failure to state a cognizable claim against the defendant. The dismissal order explained the complaint’s deficiencies, gave plaintiff 30 days to file an amended complaint correcting those deficiencies, and warned plaintiff that failure to file an amended complaint would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed for failure to state a claim.

The 30-day period has expired and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court’s order.

Accordingly, it is hereby RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for failure to state a claim. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A; 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

1           These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge  
2 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days  
3 after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written  
4 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned  
5 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Failure to file objections  
6 within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. *Turner v.*  
7 *Duncan*, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

8 Dated: July 14, 2010.

9   
10 EDMUND F. BRENNAN  
11 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26