1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	RAYMOND D. JACKSON, SR.,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-09-1261 WBS EFB P
12	VS.
13	B.C. WILLIAMS, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action
17	seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate
18	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.
19	On June 17, 2011, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
20	herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any
21	objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days from the
22	date the findings and recommendations were served. Plaintiff has filed objections to the findings
23	and recommendations.
24	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule
25	304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the
26	entire
	1

1 ////

file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The findings and recommendations filed June 17, 2011, are adopted in full; 2. Plaintiff's access to the courts claim is dismissed with prejudice pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2); 3. Defendant's September 10, 2010 motion for summary judgment is denied as moot; and 4. This action proceeds solely on plaintiff's retaliation claim. DATED: September 14, 2011 The SHUBB ILLIAM в. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE