| (HC) McGee | v. Yates  |                             |  |
|------------|---|-----------------------------|--|
|            |   |                             |  |
|            |   |                             |  |
|            |   |                             |  |
| 1          |   |                             |  |
| 2          |   |                             |  |
| 3          |   |                             |  |
| 4          |   |                             |  |
| 5          |   |                             |  |
|            |   |                             |  |
| 6<br>7     |   |                             |  |
|            | IN THE LIMITED (  |                             |  |
| 8          | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA                       |                             |  |
| 9          | FOR THE EASTERN   | DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA      |  |
| 10         |   | N. 2.00                     |  |
| 11         | CHARLES SHELDON McGEE, Jr.,   | No. 2:09-cv-02127-MCE DAD P |  |
| 12         | Petitioner,   |                             |  |
| 13         | VS.   | <u>ORDER</u>                |  |
| 14         | JAMES A. YATES,   |                             |  |
| 15         | Respondent.   |                             |  |
| 16         |   |                             |  |
| 17         | Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a petition for a writ of                |                             |  |
| 18         | habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States            |                             |  |
| 19         | Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.                         |                             |  |
| 20         | On May 26, 2010, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein                   |                             |  |
| 21         | which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to |                             |  |
| 22         | the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. Neither party has       |                             |  |
| 23         | filed objections to the findings and recommendations.   |                             |  |
| 24         | The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be                  |                             |  |
| 25         | supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY         |                             |  |
| 26         | ORDERED that:   |                             |  |
|            |   | 1                           |  |

Doc. 18

|   | I |
|---|---|
|   | 2 |
|   | 3 |
|   | 4 |
|   | 5 |
|   | 6 |
|   | 7 |
|   | 8 |
|   | 9 |
| 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 2 |
| 1 | 3 |
| 1 | 4 |
| 1 | 5 |
| 1 | 6 |
| 1 | 7 |
| 1 | 8 |
| 1 | 9 |
| 2 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 |
| 2 | 3 |
| 2 | 4 |
| 2 | 5 |
|   |   |

26

- 1. The findings and recommendations filed May 26, 2010, are adopted in full;
- 2. Respondent's January 14, 2010 motion to dismiss this action as filed beyond the one-year statute of limitations (Doc. No. 12) is granted; and
  - 3. This action is dismissed.

Dated: July 8, 2010

MORRISON C. ENGLAND) JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE