1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA VINCENT C. ORTIZ, 10 11 Petitioner, No. CIV S-09-2529 GEB GGH P 12 VS. 13 JAMES YATES, 14 Respondent. FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS 15 By order March 23, 2010, petitioner was ordered to show cause, within twenty 16 17 days, why respondent's January 20, 2010, motion to dismiss should not be granted. The twenty 18 day period has now expired, and petitioner has not shown cause or otherwise responded to the 19 court's order. IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without 20 prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). 21 22 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District 23 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty 24 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written 25 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any reply to the objections 26

(HC) Ortiz v. Yates

Doc. 15

shall be served and filed within ten days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: May 25, 2010 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE GGH:035 orti2529.fsc