1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CAMERON CLARK, 10 11 Petitioner, No. CIV S-09-3057 FCD GGH P 12 VS. 13 D. K. SISTO, et al., 14 Respondents. ORDER 15 16 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this application for a writ 17 of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States 18 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. 19 On March 12, 2010, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations 20 herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any 21 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. 22 Respondent has filed objections to the findings and recommendations. 23 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 24 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the 25 entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and 26 by proper analysis. 1

(HC) Clark v. Sisto

Doc. 19

## 

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations filed March 12, 2010, are adopted in full;

and

2. Respondent's January 15, 2010, motion to dismiss (Docket No. 13) is denied and respondent is ordered to file an answer to the petition within 60 days.

DATED: May 10, 2010.

VK C. DAMRELL, JR.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE