////

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RONALD DAVENPORT,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-09-3091 GEB EFB P

VS.

13 BEN LEE, et al.,

Defendants. <u>FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>

Plaintiff is a prisoner proceeding *in forma pauperis* and without counsel in a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On March 23, 2011, process was returned unexecuted because defendant Sahota could not be located. On April 5, 2011, the court directed plaintiff to provide new instructions for service of process upon defendant Sahota. Plaintiff has not provided new instructions for service of process upon defendant Sahota or otherwise responded to the court's order. The court has given plaintiff two opportunities to locate defendant Sahota and warned plaintiff that failure to provide new instructions or to show good cause for such failure would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed as to that defendant. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m) (service of process must be effected within 120 days of the filing of the complaint unless plaintiff demonstrates good cause).

Accordingly, it is hereby RECOMMENDED that defendant Sahota be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Turner v*. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: July 5, 2011.

EDMUND F. BRENNAN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE