(HC) Burton v. Swartout	
1	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	CARLOS CORTEZ BURTON,
11	Petitioner, No. 2:09-cv-3332 GEB KJN P
12	VS.
13	S. SWARTHOUT,
14	Respondent. <u>FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	By order filed June 10, 2010, petitioner was ordered to show cause, within twenty-
17	one days, why his action should not be dismissed. That period has now expired, and petitioner
18	has not shown cause or otherwise responded to the court's order.
19	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without
20	prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).
21	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
22	Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen
23	days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
24	objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
25	"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any reply to the objections
26	shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are
Ш	

Doc. 18

advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: July 7, 2010 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE burt3332.fsc