

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TIMOTHY LEE MORALES,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-09-3610 GGH P

vs.

CA. FORENSIC MEDICAL  
GROUP, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

\_\_\_\_\_ /

By order filed April 6, 2010, the court granted plaintiff twenty-eight days to file an amended complaint. In the April 6th order, the court informed plaintiff of the deficiencies in his complaint. The twenty-eight day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.

For the reasons given in the April 6, 2010, order, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed with prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). The Clerk of Court is directed to assign a district judge to this case.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen (14) days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file

1 written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate  
2 Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections  
3 within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order.

4 DATED: June 4, 2010

5  
6 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows  
7 \_\_\_\_\_  
8 GREGORY G. HOLLOWS  
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10 mora3610.fta

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26