Ш

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	KWANZA GREEN,No. 2:10-cv-00242-MCE-EFB PS
12	Plaintiff,
13	vs. <u>ORDER</u>
14	ALLIANCE TITLE; CMG MORTGAGE, INC.; SELECT PORTFOLIO SERVICING, INC.; NATIONAL DEFAULT SERVICING,
15	INC.; NATIONAL DEFAULT SERVICING, CORP.; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,
16	Defendants.
17	/
18	On December 3, 2010, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
19	herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the
20	findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. No objections were filed.
21	Accordingly, the court presumes any findings of fact are correct. See Orland v.
22	United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1999). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are
23	reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified Sch. Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir.
24	1983).
25	
26	
	1

1	The court has reviewed the applicable legal standards and, good cause appearing,
2	concludes that it is appropriate to adopt the proposed Findings and Recommendations in full.
3	Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:
4	1. The proposed Findings and Recommendations filed December 3, 2010, are
5	ADOPTED;
6	2. Plaintiff's motion to remand, Dckt. No. 59, is granted;
7	3. This action is remanded to the Superior Court of the State of California in and
8	for the County of Solano; and
9	4. Defendants' motions to dismiss, Dckt. Nos. 60 and 64, and defendants' motion
10	to strike the lis pendens, Dckt. No. 67, are denied without prejudice to them being re-filed in the
11	state court action.
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.
13	Dated: February 10, 2011
14	Macan (E. i.
15	MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I