

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOSEPH STANLEY,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-10-0276 GGH P

vs.

KAPATA, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER AND

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

_____ /

A recent court order was served on plaintiff's address of record and returned by the postal service. It appears that plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 83-182(f), which requires that a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address change.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall assign a district judge to this action; and

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for plaintiff's failure to keep the court apprised of his current address. See Local Rules 83-182(f) and 11-110.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's

1 Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the
2 specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
3 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

4 DATED: March 1, 2010

5
6 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows
7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

8
9 stan276.33a

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26