

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

VICTOR MANUEL CANO,

Petitioner,

No. CIV S-10-0635 MCE DAD (TEMP) P

vs.

SALINAS,

Respondent.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

\_\_\_\_\_ /

A recent court order was served on petitioner’s address of record and returned by the postal service. It appears that petitioner has failed to comply with Local Rule 182(f), which requires that a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address change.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for petitioner's failure to keep the court apprised of his current address.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty-one days after being served with these findings and recommendations, petitioner may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Petitioner is advised that failure to file objections within the

////

1 specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951  
2 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

3 DATED: February 28, 2011.

4  
5   
6 \_\_\_\_\_  
7 DALE A. DROZD  
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

8 DAD:mp  
9 cano0635.133a

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26